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Abstract. We study the s-numbers of elementary operators acting on C*-algebras. The main results are the following: If \( \tau \) is any tensor norm and \( A, B \in B(H) \) are such that the sequences \( s(A), s(B) \) of their singular numbers belong to a stable Calkin space \( i \) then the sequence of approximation numbers of \( A \otimes \tau B \) belongs to \( i \). If \( A \) is a C*-algebra, \( i \) is a stable Calkin space, \( s \) is an \( s \)-number function, and \( a_i, b_i \in A, \ i = 1, 2, \ldots, m \) are such that \( s(\pi(a_i)), s(\pi(b_i)) \in i, \ i = 1, 2, \ldots, m \) for some faithful representation \( \pi \) of \( A \) then \( s(\sum_{i=1}^{m} M_{a_i, b_i}) \in i \). The converse implication holds if and only if the ideal of compact elements of \( A \) has finite spectrum. We also prove a quantitative version of a result of Ylinen.

INTRODUCTION

Let \( A \) be a C*-algebra. If \( a, b \in A \) we denote by \( M_{a,b} \) the operator on \( A \) given by \( M_{a,b}(x) = axb \). An operator \( \Phi : A \to A \) is called elementary if \( \Phi = \sum_{i=1}^{m} M_{a_i, b_i} \) for some \( a_i, b_i \in A, \ i = 1, \ldots, m \).

Let \( H \) be a separable Hilbert space and \( B(H) \) the C*-algebra of all bounded linear operators on \( H \). A theorem of Fong and Sourour [10] asserts that an elementary operator \( \Phi \) on \( B(H) \) is compact if and only if there exists a representation \( \sum_{i=1}^{m} M_{A_i, B_i} \) of \( \Phi \) such that the symbols \( A_i, B_i, \ i = 1, \ldots, m \) of \( \Phi \) are compact operators. An element \( a \) of a C*-algebra \( A \) is called compact if the operator \( M_{a,a} \) is compact. Ylinen [21] showed that \( a \in A \) is a compact element if and only if there exists a faithful *-representation \( \pi \) of \( A \) such that the operator \( \pi(a) \) is compact.

The result of Fong and Sourour was extended by Mathieu [14] who showed that if \( A \) is a prime C*-algebra, then an elementary operator \( \Phi \) on \( A \) is compact if and only if there exist compact elements \( a_i, b_i \in A, \ i = 1, \ldots, m, \) such that \( \Phi = \sum_{i=1}^{m} M_{a_i, b_i} \). Recently Timoney [20] extended this result to general C*-algebras.

In this paper we investigate quantitative aspects of the above results. It is well-known that a bounded operator on a Banach space is compact if and only if its Kolmogorov numbers form a null sequence. In our approach we use the more general notion of the s-function introduced by Pietsch and the theory of ideals.
of $B(H)$ developed by von Neumann, Schatten, Calkin and others. A detailed study of these notions is presented in the monographs [16], [5], [11] and [18].

In Section 1 of the paper we recall the definitions of Calkin spaces and the basic properties of $s$-functions.

In Section 2 we study stable Calkin spaces. An analogous property for ideals of $B(H)$, called “tensor product closure property”, was considered by Weiss [22]. We give a necessary and sufficient condition for the stability of a singly generated Calkin space. We also provide a sufficient condition for the stability of a Lorentz sequence space.

In Section 3 we prove inequalities relating $s$-number functions of the operators $M_{a,b}$ and $M_{a,b}^c$.

In Section 4 we study elementary operators acting on $B(H)$. Some of our results can be presented in a more general setting. Namely, we show that if $\tau$ is any tensor norm and $A, B \in B(H)$ are such that $s(A), s(B)$ belong to a stable Calkin space $i$ then the sequence of approximation numbers of $A \otimes_\tau B$ belongs to $i$. A result of this type for $i = \ell_{p,q}$ was proved by König in [12] who used it to prove results concerning tensor stability of $s$-number ideals in Banach spaces.

We also show that if $\Phi$ is an elementary operator on $B(H)$, $i$ is a stable Calkin space and $s, s'$ are $s$-number functions, then the sequence $s(\Phi)$ belongs to $i$ if and only if the sequence $s'(\Phi)$ belongs to $i$.

In Section 5 we study elementary operators acting on $C^*$-algebras. We show that if $A$ is a $C^*$-algebra, $i$ is a stable Calkin space, $s$ is an $s$-number function, and $a_i, b_i \in A$, $i = 1, \ldots, m$, are such that $s(\pi(a_i)), s(\pi(b_i)) \in i$, $i = 1, \ldots, m$ for some faithful representation $\pi$ of $A$ then $s(\sum_{i=1}^m M_{a_i,b_i}) \in i$. The converse implication holds if and only if the ideal of compact elements of $A$ has finite spectrum. Finally, we prove that if $a \in A$ and $d(M_{a,a}) \in i$ for some Calkin space $i$ then $s(\rho(a))^2 \in i$, where $\rho$ is the reduced atomic representation of $A$. This result may be viewed as a quantitative version of the aforementioned result of Ylinen.

1. Calkin Spaces and $s$-Functions

In this section we recall some notions and results concerning the ideal structure of the algebra of all bounded linear operators acting on a separable Hilbert space. We also recall the definition of an $s$-function.

We will denote by $B$ the class of all bounded linear operators between Banach spaces. If $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ are Banach spaces, we will denote by $B(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ the set of all bounded linear operators from $\mathcal{X}$ into $\mathcal{Y}$. If $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{Y}$ we set $B(\mathcal{X}) = B(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})$. 
Ideals of $B(X)$ or, more generally, of a normed algebra $A$, will be proper, two-sided and not necessarily norm closed. By $K(X)$ (resp. $F(X)$) we denote the ideal of all compact (resp. finite rank) operators on $X$. By $\|T\|$ we denote the operator norm of a bounded linear operator $T$. We denote by $\ell_\infty$ the space of all bounded complex sequences, by $c_0$ the space of all sequences in $\ell_\infty$ converging to 0 and by $c_{00}$ the space of all sequences in $c_0$ that are eventually zero. The space of all $p$-summable complex sequences is denoted by $\ell_p$. For a subspace $j$ of $\ell_\infty$, we let $j^+$ be the subset of $j$ consisting of all sequences with non-negative terms. We denote by $c_0^*$ the subset of $c_0^+$ consisting of all non-negative decreasing sequences.

If $\alpha = (\alpha_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ and $\beta = (\beta_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ are sequences of real numbers, we write $\alpha \leq \beta$ if $\alpha_n \leq \beta_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For every $\alpha = (\alpha_n)_{n=1}^\infty \in c_0$ we define $\alpha^* = (\alpha_n^*)_{n=1}^\infty \in c_0^+$ to be the sequence given by

$$\alpha_1^* = \max\{|\alpha_n| : n \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

$$\alpha_1^* + \cdots + \alpha_n^* = \max \\{\sum_{i \in I} |\alpha_i| : I \subseteq \mathbb{N}, |I| = n\}.$$

The sequence $\alpha^*$ is the rearrangement of the sequence $(|\alpha_n|)_{n=1}^\infty$ in decreasing order including multiplicities.

A Calkin space [18] is a subspace $i$ of $c_0$ which has the following property:

If $\alpha \in i$ and $\beta \in c_0$ then $\beta^* \leq \alpha^*$ implies that $\beta \in i$.

Let $H$ be a separable Hilbert space. If $e, f \in H$ we denote by $f^* \otimes e$ the rank one operator on $H$ given by $f^* \otimes e(x) = (x, f)e$, $x \in H$. Given an operator $T \in K(H)$ there exist orthonormal sequences $(f_n)_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq H$ and $(e_n)_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq H$ and a unique sequence $(s_n(T))_{n=1}^\infty \in c_0^+$ such that

$$T = \sum_{n=1}^\infty s_n(T) f_n^* \otimes e_n$$

where the series converges in norm. Such a decomposition of $T$ is called a Schmidt expansion. The elements of the sequence $s(T) = (s_n(T))_{n=1}^\infty$ are called singular numbers of $T$.

For every ideal $I \subseteq B(H)$ we set

$$i(I) = \{\alpha \in c_0 : \text{there exists } T \in I \text{ such that } \alpha^* = s(T)\};$$

conversely, for every Calkin space $i$ we set

$$I(i) = \{T \in B(H) : s(T) \in i\}.$$
We now recall Pietsch’s definition of $s$-functions. A map $s$ which assigns to every operator $T \in B$ a sequence of non-negative real numbers $s(T) = (s_1(T), s_2(T), \ldots)$ is called an $s$-function if the following are satisfied:

1. $\|T\| = s_1(T) \geq s_2(T) \geq \ldots$, for $T \in B$.
2. $s_n(S + T) \leq s_n(S) + \|T\|$, for $S, T \in B(X, Y)$.
3. $s_n(RST) \leq \|R\| \|T\|s_n(S)$, for $T \in B(X, Y)$, $S \in B(Y, Z)$, $R \in B(Z, W)$.
4. If $\text{rank}(T) < n$ then $s_n(T) = 0$.
5. $s_n(I_n) = 1$, where $I_n$ is the identity operator on $c_0^n$. (Here $c_0^n$ is the $n$-dimensional complex Hilbert space).

An $s$-function $s$ is said to be additive if $s_{m+n-1}(S + T) \leq s_m(S) + s_n(T)$ for all $m, n$ and $S, T \in B(X, Y)$.

We give below the definition of some $s$-functions which will be used in the sequel. Let $X$ and $Y$ be Banach spaces and $T \in B(X, Y)$.

(a) The sequence $a(T) = (a_n(T))_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of approximation numbers of $T$ is given by

$$a_n(T) = \inf \left\{ \|T - A\| : A \in B(X, Y), \text{rank}(A) < n \right\}.$$

(b) The sequence $d(T) = (d_n(T))_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of Kolmogorov numbers of $T$ is given by

$$d_n(T) = \inf \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \inf_{y \in V} \|Tx - y\|,$$

where the infimum is taken over all subspaces $V$ of $Y$ with dim $V < n$.

(c) The sequence $h(T)$ of Hilbert numbers of $T$ is given by

$$h_n(T) = \sup s_n(ATB)$$

where the supremum is taken over all contractions $A \in B(Y, H)$, $B \in B(K, X)$ and Hilbert spaces $H$ and $K$.

The approximation, the Kolmogorov and the Hilbert $s$-functions are additive [16]. Moreover, for every $s$-function $s$, every operator $T \in B$ and every $n$ we have $h_n(T) \leq s_n(T) \leq a_n(T)$ [16].

A well-known result of Pietsch ([16, Theorem 11.3.4]) implies that if $s$ is an $s$-function, $H$ is a separable Hilbert space and $T \in K(H)$ then $s_n(T)$ is equal to the $n^{th}$-singular number $s_n(T)$ of $T$.

2. STABLE CALKIN SPACES

In this section we present some results concerning stable Calkin spaces. We characterize the stable principal Calkin spaces and show that certain Lorentz sequence spaces are stable Calkin spaces.
If \( \alpha = (\alpha_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}, \beta = (\beta_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in c_0 \), we define the sequence \( \alpha \otimes \beta = (\gamma_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in c_0^* \) by
\[
\gamma_1 = \max \{ |\alpha_i \beta_j| : (i, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \}
\]
\[
\gamma_1 + \cdots + \gamma_n = \max \left\{ \sum_{(i,j) \in I} |\alpha_i \beta_j| : I \subseteq \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}, |I| = n \right\}.
\]
The sequence \( \alpha \otimes \beta \) is the rearrangement of the double sequence \( (|\alpha_n \beta_m|)_{n,m=1}^{\infty} \) in decreasing order including multiplicities.

**Definition 2.1.** Let \( i \) and \( j \) be Calkin spaces. We let \( i \otimes j \) be the smallest Calkin space containing the sequences \( \alpha \otimes \beta \), where \( \alpha \in i \) and \( \beta \in j \). A Calkin space \( i \) is said to be stable if \( i \otimes i = i \).

Let \( \mathcal{H} \) be a separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space. Weiss [22] defined the tensor product closure property for ideals of \( \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \). An ideal \( \mathcal{I} \) of \( \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) has this property if \( S \otimes T \in \mathcal{I} \) whenever \( S, T \in \mathcal{I} \). Here the Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H} \) is identified with \( \mathcal{H} \) in a natural way. It is easy to see that an ideal \( \mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) has the tensor product closure property if and only if \( i(\mathcal{I}) \) is a stable Calkin space.

We will need the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.2.** If \( \alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta' \in c_0^* \) are such that \( \alpha \leq \alpha' \) and \( \beta \leq \beta' \) then \( \alpha \otimes \beta \leq \alpha' \otimes \beta' \).

**Proof.** Clearly \( \alpha_m \beta_n \leq \alpha'_m \beta'_n \) for every \( m, n \). So to prove the lemma it suffices to prove that if \( \alpha \leq \beta \) then \( \alpha^* \leq \beta^* \). Consider an injection \( \pi : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N} \) such that \( \alpha^*_n = \alpha_{\pi(n)} \). Clearly \( \alpha^*_1 \leq \beta^*_1 \). Suppose that \( \alpha^*_i \leq \beta^*_i \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, n - 1 \). If \( \alpha^*_n > \beta^*_n \) then \( \alpha^*_i > \beta^*_i \) for every \( i = 1, \ldots, n \) and hence \( \beta_{\pi(1)}, \ldots, \beta_{\pi(n)} \) are strictly greater than \( \beta^*_n \) so the number of all \( i \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( \beta_i > \beta^*_n \) is greater than \( n - 1 \), a contradiction. \( \Box \)

**Notation 2.3.**
1. If \( \alpha_n = (\alpha^*_n)_{k_1=1}^{k_l} \) are finite sequences, we set \( (\alpha_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} = (\alpha^*_1, \ldots, \alpha^*_{k_1}, \alpha^*_{k_1}, \alpha^*_2, \alpha^*_{k_2}, \alpha^*_3, \ldots, \alpha^*_{k_3}, \ldots) \).
2. If \( \omega \in \mathbb{C} \) and \( r \in \mathbb{N} \) we set \( (\omega)_r = (\omega, \ldots, \omega) \).
3. If \( r \in \mathbb{N} \) we let \( (1, 1, \ldots, 1, 0, 0, \ldots) \).
4. If \( \alpha, \beta \) are sequences of real numbers we write \( \alpha \lesssim \beta \) if there exists a constant \( C > 0 \) such that \( \alpha \leq C \beta \).

Observe that if \( (M_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}, (N_n)_{n=0}^{\infty} \) are sequences of non negative integers then
\[
((\omega^r)_{M_n})_{n=0}^{\infty} \otimes ((\omega^r)_{N_n})_{n=0}^{\infty} = ((\omega^r)_{\sum_{k+i=n} M_k N_i})_{n=0}^{\infty}.
\]

**Lemma 2.4.** Let \( i \) be a Calkin space. If \( \alpha \in i \) and \( \beta \in c_0 \) then \( \alpha \otimes \beta \in i \).
Proof. Let \( \alpha^* = (\alpha_n^*)_{n=1}^{\infty} \). Clearly, if \( r \in \mathbb{N} \) then

\[
\mathbf{r} \otimes \mathbf{\alpha} = ((\alpha_1^*)_r, (\alpha_2^*)_r, \ldots, (\alpha_n^*)_r, \ldots).
\]

It follows from the definition of a Calkin space that \( \mathbf{r} \otimes \mathbf{\alpha} \in i \). Since \( \beta^* \in c_0^+ \), there exists \( r \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( \beta^* \preceq_r \mathbf{r} \). By Lemma 2.2, \( \beta^* \otimes \mathbf{\alpha} \preceq_r \mathbf{r} \otimes \mathbf{\alpha} \). Hence, \( \beta \otimes \mathbf{\alpha} \in i \).

The following notation will be used in the sequel.

**Notation 2.5.** Let \( \mathbf{\alpha} = (\alpha_m)_{m=1}^{\infty} \in c_0^+ \) and \( \omega \in (0,1) \). For every \( n = 0,1,\ldots, \)

\[
K_n^*(\mathbf{\alpha}) = \{ m : \omega^{n+1} < \alpha_m \leq \omega^n \}, \quad K_n^*(\mathbf{\alpha}) = |K_n^*(\mathbf{\alpha})|,
\]

\[
\tilde{K}_n^*(\mathbf{\alpha}) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} K_i^*(\mathbf{\alpha}), \quad M_n^*(\mathbf{\alpha}) = \sum_{i+j=n} K_i^*(\mathbf{\alpha})K_j^*(\mathbf{\alpha}),
\]

\[
\tilde{M}_n^*(\mathbf{\alpha}) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} M_i^*(\mathbf{\alpha}),
\]

\[
K_{-1}^*(\mathbf{\alpha}) = \tilde{K}_{-1}^*(\mathbf{\alpha}) = M_{-1}^*(\mathbf{\alpha}) = \tilde{M}_{-1}^*(\mathbf{\alpha}) = 0.
\]

**Lemma 2.6.** Let \( \omega \in (0,1) \), \( \mathbf{\alpha} = (\alpha_m)_{m=1}^{\infty} \in c_0^+ \), \( \mathbf{\beta} = (\beta_m)_{m=1}^{\infty} \in c_0^+ \). Assume that \( \alpha_1, \beta_1 \leq 1 \). Then \( \mathbf{\alpha} \preceq \mathbf{\beta} \) if and only if there exists a positive integer \( r \) such that for every \( n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, \)

\[
\tilde{K}_n^*(\mathbf{\alpha}) \leq \tilde{K}_{n+r}^*(\mathbf{\beta}).
\]

**Proof.** Set \( \tilde{L}_n = \tilde{K}_n^*(\mathbf{\alpha}) \) and \( \tilde{L}_n = \tilde{K}_n^*(\mathbf{\beta}) \). Suppose that \( \mathbf{\alpha} \preceq \mathbf{\beta} \) and let \( C > 0 \) be such that \( \alpha_m \leq C \beta_m \), for every \( m \in \mathbb{N} \). Let \( r \in \mathbb{N} \) be such that \( \omega^r C \leq 1 \). Then \( \beta_{\tilde{L}_n} \geq C^{-1} \alpha_{\tilde{L}_n} > C^{-1} \omega^{n+1} \geq \omega^{n+r} \). Thus, \( \tilde{K}_n \leq \tilde{L}_{n+r} \).

Conversely, suppose that there exists \( r \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( \tilde{K}_n \leq \tilde{L}_{n+r} \), for every \( n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \). Fix \( m \in \mathbb{N} \) and let \( n \) and \( k \) be such that \( m = \tilde{K}_{n-k} \) and \( 1 \leq k \leq K_n \). Since \( \tilde{K}_{n-1} < m \leq \tilde{K}_n \leq \tilde{L}_{n+r} \) we have

\[
\alpha_m \leq \omega^n = \omega^{r-1} \omega^{n+r+1} \leq \omega^{r-1} \beta_{\tilde{L}_{n+r}} \leq \omega^{r-1} \beta_m.
\]

Thus, \( \mathbf{\alpha} \preceq \mathbf{\beta} \).

If \( \mathbf{\alpha} \in c_0 \) we let \( \langle \mathbf{\alpha} \rangle \) denote the smallest Calkin space containing \( \mathbf{\alpha} \). A Calkin space of the form \( \langle \mathbf{\alpha} \rangle \) is called principal. The proof of the following lemma is straightforward.

**Lemma 2.7.** If \( \mathbf{\alpha} \in c_0 \) then

\[
\langle \mathbf{\alpha} \rangle = \{ \mathbf{\beta} \in c_0 : \text{ there exists } r \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } \beta^* \preceq_r \mathbf{\alpha} \}.
\]

**Theorem 2.8.** Let \( \mathbf{\alpha} = (\alpha_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in c_0^+ \) with \( \alpha_1 \leq 1 \) and \( \omega \in (0,1) \). The following are equivalent:
(1) The principal Calkin space \( \langle \alpha \rangle \) is stable.
(2) \( \alpha \otimes \alpha \in \langle \alpha \rangle \).
(3) There exists \( r \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( C > 0 \) such that \( M_r^{(\omega)}(\alpha) \leq C K_{n+r}^{(\omega)}(\alpha) \), for every \( n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \).

**Proof.** (1)\(\Rightarrow\)(2) is trivial.

(2)\(\Rightarrow\)(1) Let \( \beta, \gamma \in \langle \alpha \rangle \). By Lemma 2.7, there exist positive integers \( m, n \) such that \( \beta \lesssim m \otimes \alpha \) and \( \gamma \lesssim n \otimes \alpha \). By Lemma 2.2 we have that \( \beta \otimes \gamma \lesssim (m \otimes \alpha) \otimes (n \otimes \alpha) = (mn) \otimes (\alpha \otimes \alpha) \). Since \( \alpha \otimes \alpha \in \langle \alpha \rangle \), using Lemma 2.7 again we conclude that \( \beta \otimes \gamma \in \langle \alpha \rangle \) and so \( \langle \alpha \rangle \) is stable.

(1)\(\Leftrightarrow\)(3) Set \( K_n = K_n^{(\omega)}(\alpha) \) and \( \tilde{\alpha} = (\omega^n)_{K_n}^{\infty} \); clearly, \( \langle \alpha \rangle = \langle \tilde{\alpha} \rangle \). By the previous paragraph, \( \langle \alpha \rangle \) is stable if and only if \( \tilde{\alpha} \otimes \tilde{\alpha} \in \langle \tilde{\alpha} \rangle \). By Lemma 2.7, \( \tilde{\alpha} \otimes \tilde{\alpha} \in \langle \tilde{\alpha} \rangle \) if and only if there exists a positive integer \( m \) such that \( \tilde{\alpha} \otimes \tilde{\alpha} \lesssim m \otimes \tilde{\alpha} \). Since \( \tilde{K}_n^{(\omega)}(\tilde{\alpha} \otimes \tilde{\alpha}) = \tilde{M}_n^{(\omega)}(\tilde{\alpha}) \) (see equation (1)) and \( \tilde{K}_n^{(\omega)}(m \otimes \tilde{\alpha}) = m \tilde{K}_n^{(\omega)}(\tilde{\alpha}) \) the conclusion follows from Lemma 2.6. \(\square\)

**Corollary 2.9.** Let \( \alpha = (\alpha_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in c_0^* \) with \( \alpha_1 \leq 1 \) and \( \omega \in (0,1) \). Suppose that \( C > 0 \) is a constant such that

\[
K_{n+j}^{(\omega)}(\alpha) \geq C \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n} K_i^{(\omega)}(\alpha) \right)^2
\]

for all \( j \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \). Then \( \langle \alpha \rangle \) is a stable Calkin space.

**Proof.** Set \( K_n = K_n^{(\omega)}(\alpha) \), \( \tilde{K}_n = \tilde{K}_n^{(\omega)}(\alpha) \) and \( \tilde{M}_n = \tilde{M}_n^{(\omega)}(\alpha) \). Let \( r \) be a positive integer such that \( rC \geq 1 \). Since \( (\sum_{i=0}^{n} K_i)^2 \geq \tilde{M}_n \), it follows that

\[
\tilde{K}_{n+r} \geq K_{n+1} + \cdots + K_{n+r} \geq rC \tilde{M}_n \geq \tilde{M}_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}
\]

and hence condition (3) of Theorem 2.8 holds. \(\square\)

We next give some examples of stable and non-stable principal Calkin spaces.

**Examples**

(1) It follows from assertion (3) of Theorem 2.8 that for every \( \omega \in (0,1) \), the principal Calkin space \( (\omega^n)_{n=0}^{\infty} \) is not stable. This example was first given in [22].

(2) Let \( \lambda > 0 \) and \( \alpha = (n^{-\lambda})_{n=1}^{\infty} \). Then the principal Calkin space \( \langle \alpha \rangle \) is not stable. To show this, let \( \mu = \lambda^{-1} \) and \( \omega = e^{-1} \). Let \( K_n, M_n, \tilde{K}_n, \tilde{M}_n \) be the positive integers associated with the sequence \( (n^{-\lambda})_{n=1}^{\infty} \) and \( \omega \) (Notation 2.5). Since

\[
\frac{1}{2} [e^{(j+1)\mu} - e^{j\mu}] \leq K_j \leq [e^{(j+1)\mu} - e^{j\mu}],
\]

there exist constants \( C_1, C_2 > 0 \) such that for every \( j \)

\[
C_2 e^{j\mu} \leq K_j \leq C_1 e^{j\mu}.
\]
It follows that \( M_n = \sum_{i+j=n} K_i K_j \geq (n+1)C^2_2 e^{n\mu} \). Let \( r \) be a positive integer. Then
\[
\widetilde{K}_{n+r} \leq C_1 \sum_{i=0}^{n+r} (e^\mu)^i = \frac{C_1 (e^{(n+r+1)\mu} - 1)}{e^\mu - 1}
\]
and
\[
\widetilde{M}_n \geq C^2_2 \int_0^n (t+1)e^{t\mu}dt \geq C_3 ne^{n\mu}
\]
for some \( C_3 > 0 \). Thus,
\[
\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{\widetilde{M}_n}{\widetilde{K}_{n+r}} = +\infty
\]
for each \( r \in \mathbb{N} \). By Theorem 2.8, \( \langle \alpha \rangle \) is not stable.

It follows from the characterization of the symmetrically normable principal ideals due to Allen and Shen [1] that the principal ideal \( \langle T \rangle \) of \( B(\mathcal{H}) \) generated by an operator \( T \) with \( s(T) = (n^{-\lambda})_{n=1}^{\infty} \), \( \lambda \in (0, 1) \) is symmetrically normed. However, as we have shown, the principal Calkin space \( \langle (n^{-\lambda})_{n=1}^{\infty} \rangle \), for \( \lambda \in (0, 1) \), is not stable.

(3) Let \( \alpha = \left( \frac{1}{\log_2 m} \right)_{m=2}^{\infty} \). Then the Calkin space \( \langle \alpha \rangle \) is stable. To see this, consider the positive integers \( K_n, M_n, \widetilde{K}_n, \widetilde{M}_n \) associated with the sequence \( \left( \frac{1}{\log_2 m} \right)_{m=2}^{\infty} \) and \( \omega = \frac{1}{2} \) (Notation 2.5). We have that \( K_n = 2^{n+1} - 2^n \). Since
\[
(K_0 + \cdots + K_n)^2 = \left(2^{n+1} - 2^n\right)^2
\]
it follows from Corollary 2.9 that \( \langle \alpha \rangle \) is stable.

In the sequel we examine the stability of a class of Calkin spaces, namely, the Lorentz sequence spaces. We recall their definition [13]. Let \( 1 \leq p < \infty \) and let \( w = (w_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \) be a decreasing sequence of positive numbers such that \( w_1 = 1 \), \( \lim_{n \to \infty} w_n = 0 \) and \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} w_n = \infty \). We shall call such a \( w \) a weight sequence. The linear space \( \ell_{w,p} \) of all sequences \( \alpha = (\alpha_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \) of complex numbers such that
\[
\|\alpha\|_{w,p} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \sup_{\pi} \left\{ \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} w_n |\alpha_{\pi(n)}|^p \right)^{1/p} \right\} < \infty,
\]
where \( \pi \) ranges over all the permutations of \( \mathbb{N} \), is a Banach space under the previously defined norm, called a Lorentz sequence space.

If \( \alpha \in \ell_{w,p} \) then we easily see that
\[
\|\alpha\|_{w,p} = \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} w_n (\alpha_n^*)^p \right)^{1/p}.
\]
If \( w_n = n^{\frac{1}{p} - 1} \) with \( 0 < p < q \) we obtain the classical \( \ell_{q,p} \) spaces of Lorentz.

**Theorem 2.10.** Let \( w = (w_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \) be a weight sequence such that there exists a constant \( C > 0 \) with \( w_{mn} \leq C w_m w_n \) for every \( m, n \in \mathbb{N} \). Then for every \( p \geq 1 \) and \( \alpha, \beta \in \ell_{w,p} \) we have that

\[
\| \alpha \otimes \beta \|_{w,p} \leq C^{1/p} \| \alpha \|_{w,p} \| \beta \|_{w,p}.
\]

In particular, \( \ell_{w,p} \) is a stable Calkin space.

**Proof.** We may assume that \( \alpha = (\alpha_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \) and \( \beta = (\beta_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \) are positive decreasing sequences with \( \alpha_1, \beta_1 \leq 1 \). Fix \( \omega \) with \( 0 < \omega < 1 \). For every \( n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{ 0 \} \) let \( K_n = \| K_n^{(\omega)}(\alpha) \|, L_n = \| K_n^{(\omega)}(\beta) \|, \tilde{M}_n = \sum_{0 \leq i + j \leq n} K_i L_j \) and \( K_1 = L_1 = M_{-1} = 0 \).

Let

\[
\tilde{\alpha} = ((\omega^n) K_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}, \quad \tilde{\beta} = ((\omega^n) L_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}.
\]

Then

\[
\tilde{\alpha} \otimes \tilde{\beta} = (\omega^n)_{n=0}^{\infty} \cdot \tilde{M}_{n-1} = \sum_{s=1}^{n} K_s L_{n-s} + kl.
\]

For every \( n, i, k, l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{ 0 \} \) such that \( 0 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq k \leq K_i \) and \( 1 \leq l \leq L_{n-1} \) we set

\[
(3) \quad \phi_n(i, k, l) = \tilde{M}_{n-1} + \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} K_j L_{n-j} + kl.
\]

Also, for every \( i, 1 \leq k \leq K_i \) and \( 1 \leq l \leq L_i \) we set

\[
\psi(i, k) = \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} K_j + k, \quad \psi'(i, l) = \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} L_j + l.
\]

We observe that for every positive integer \( r \), \( (\tilde{\alpha} \otimes \tilde{\beta})_r = \omega^r \) if and only if \( r = \tilde{M}_{n-1} + s \) with \( 1 \leq s \leq \sum_{i+j=n} K_i L_j \) and therefore \( (\tilde{\alpha} \otimes \tilde{\beta})_r = \omega^r \) if and only if there exist \( n, i, k, l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{ 0 \} \) such that \( 0 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq k \leq K_i, 1 \leq l \leq L_{n-1} \) and \( r = \phi_n(i, k, l) \). So,

\[
(4) \quad \| \tilde{\alpha} \otimes \tilde{\beta} \|_{w,p}^p = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{K_i} \sum_{l=1}^{L_{n-i}} w_{\phi_n(i,k,l)} \right) \omega^{np}.
\]

Also, \( \tilde{\alpha}_r = \omega^r \) if and only if \( r = \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} K_j + k \) for some \( k \) with \( 1 \leq k \leq K_i \) and \( \tilde{\beta}_r = \omega^r \) if and only if \( r = \sum_{j=1}^{i'-1} L_j + l \) for some \( l \) with \( 1 \leq l \leq L_{i'} \). So,

\[
\| \tilde{\alpha} \|_{w,p} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{K_n} w_{\psi(n,k)} \right) \omega^{np}, \quad \| \tilde{\beta} \|_{w,p} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{l=1}^{L_n} w_{\psi'(n,l)} \right) \omega^{np}.
\]
and

\[ \| \tilde{\alpha} \|_{w,p} \| \tilde{\beta} \|_{w,p} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{L_{n-i}} \sum_{l=1}^{K_{n-i-1}} w_{\psi(i,k),\psi'(n-i,l)} \right) \omega^{np}. \]  

But

\[ \psi(i, k) \psi'(n - i, l) = \left( \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} K_j + k \right) \left( \sum_{j=0}^{n-i-1} L_j + l \right) = \]

\[ \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \sum_{j'=0}^{n-i-1} K_j L_{j'} + k \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} L_j + l \sum_{j=0}^{n-i-1} K_j + kl \leq \]

\[ \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \sum_{j'=0}^{n-i-1} K_j L_{j'} + K_i \sum_{j=0}^{n-i-1} L_j + L_{n-i} \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} K_j + kl \]

\[ \leq \tilde{M}_{n-1} + kl \leq \phi_n(i, k, l). \]

By the monotonicity of the weight sequence \( w \) we have

\[ w_{\phi_n(i, k, l)} \leq w_{\psi(i, k), \psi'(n-i, l)} \leq C w_{\psi(i, k)} w_{\psi'(n-i, l)}. \]

Finally, by (4), (5) and (6),

\[ \| \alpha \otimes \beta \|_{w,p} \leq \| \tilde{\alpha} \otimes \tilde{\beta} \|_{w,p} \leq C^{1/p} \| \tilde{\alpha} \|_{w,p} \| \tilde{\beta} \|_{w,p} \]

\[ = C^{1/p} \frac{1}{\omega^2} \| \omega \tilde{\alpha} \|_{w,p} \| \omega \tilde{\beta} \|_{w,p} \leq C^{1/p} \frac{1}{\omega^2} \| \alpha \|_{w,p} \| \beta \|_{w,p}. \]

Letting \( \omega \to 1 \) we obtain

\[ \| \alpha \otimes \beta \|_{p,w} \leq C^{1/p} \| \alpha \|_{w,p} \| \beta \|_{w,p}. \]

\[ \square \]

3. s-NUMBERS OF RESTRICTIONS

Let \( \mathcal{A} \) be a \( C^* \)-algebra. If \( a, b \in \mathcal{A} \) we denote by \( M_{a,b} \) the operator on \( \mathcal{A} \) given by \( M_{a,b}(x) = axb \). An operator \( \Phi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A} \) is called elementary if \( \Phi = \sum_{i=1}^{m} M_{a_i,b_i} \) for some \( a_i, b_i \in \mathcal{A}, \ i = 1, \ldots, m \).

If \( \mathcal{C} \) is a \( C^* \)-subalgebra of \( \mathcal{A} \) such that \( M_{a,b}(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \mathcal{C} \) we will denote by \( M_{a,b}^{\mathcal{C}} \) the operator \( \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C} \) defined by \( M_{a,b}^{\mathcal{C}}(x) = axb \). In this section we prove inequalities concerning s-number functions of the operators \( M_{a,b} \) and \( M_{a,b}^{\mathcal{C}} \).

It is well-known that every closed two-sided ideal \( \mathcal{J} \) of \( \mathcal{A} \) is an \( M \)-ideal, that is, that there exists a projection \( \eta : \mathcal{A}^* \to \mathcal{J}^\perp \), where \( \mathcal{J}^\perp \) is the annihilator of \( \mathcal{J} \) in \( \mathcal{A}^* \), such that for every \( \varphi \in \mathcal{A}^* \),

\[ \| \varphi \| = \| \eta(\varphi) \| + \| \varphi - \eta(\varphi) \| \]

(see e.g. [7], Theorem 11.4). A functional \( \varphi \in \mathcal{A}^* \) is called a Hahn-Banach extension of \( \phi \in \mathcal{J}^* \) if it is an extension of \( \phi \) and \( \| \varphi \| = \| \phi \| \). If \( \mathcal{J} \) is an \( M \)-ideal of \( \mathcal{A} \) then every \( \phi \in \mathcal{J}^* \) has a unique Hahn-Banach extension in \( \mathcal{A}^* \) denoted by \( \tilde{\phi} \). Thus, if we identify \( \mathcal{J}^* \) with the subspace \( \{ \tilde{\phi} : \phi \in \mathcal{J}^* \} \) of \( \mathcal{A}^* \) then

\[ \mathcal{A}^* = \mathcal{J}^* \oplus_{\ell_1} \mathcal{J}^\perp ; \]
thus \(|\hat{\phi} + \psi\| = |\phi| + |\psi|\) for all \(\phi \in \mathcal{J}^*, \psi \in \mathcal{J}^\perp\). Given \(T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{J})\) let \(\hat{T} : \mathcal{A}^* \to \mathcal{A}^*\) be given by

\[
\hat{T}(\hat{\phi} + \hat{\psi}) = \hat{T^*(\phi)},
\]

where \(\phi \in \mathcal{J}^*\) and \(\psi \in \mathcal{J}^\perp\). We identify \(\mathcal{A}\) with a subspace of \(\mathcal{A}^{**}\) via the canonical embedding and denote by \(\widetilde{T} : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}^{**}\) the restriction of \(\hat{T}^*\) to \(\mathcal{A}\).

**Lemma 3.1.**

1. Let \(T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{J})\). Then the operator \(\widetilde{T}\) extends \(T\) and \(\|\widetilde{T}\| = \|T\|\).
2. The map \(T \to \widetilde{T}\) is linear.

**Proof.** The second assertion is easily verified. We show (1). Let \(x \in \mathcal{J}\) and \(f \in \mathcal{A}^*\). Then \(f = \hat{\phi} + \hat{\psi}\) with \(\phi \in \mathcal{J}^*\) and \(\psi \in \mathcal{J}^\perp\). We have

\[
\widetilde{T}(x)(f) = \widetilde{T^*(\phi)}(f) = \widetilde{T^*(\phi)(x)} = T^*(\phi)(x) = \phi(Tx) = \phi(Tx) = f(Tx) = T(x)(f).
\]

Hence, \(\widetilde{T}\) is an extension of \(T\) and so \(\|\widetilde{T}\| \leq \|T\|\).

We show that \(\|\widetilde{T}\| \leq \|T\|\). Let \(x \in \mathcal{A}\) and \(f \in \mathcal{A}^*\). Then \(f = \hat{\phi} + \hat{\psi}\) with \(\phi \in \mathcal{J}^*\) and \(\psi \in \mathcal{J}^\perp\). We have

\[
\|\widetilde{T}(x)(f)\| = \|\widetilde{T^*(\phi)}(f)\| = \|\widetilde{T^*(\phi)}(x)\| \leq \|\widetilde{T^*(\phi)}\| \|\phi\| \|x\| = \|T^*\| \|\phi\| \|x\| \leq \|T^*\| \|f\| \|x\|.
\]

Hence \(\|\widetilde{T}\| \leq \|T^*\| = \|T\|\) and the proof is complete.

Let \(\mathcal{X}\) be a reflexive Banach space and \(\iota : \mathcal{J}^* \to \mathcal{A}^*\) be the map defined by \(\iota(\phi) = \hat{\phi}, \phi \in \mathcal{J}^*\). Clearly, \(\|\iota\| = 1\).

Let \(T : \mathcal{J} \to \mathcal{X}\). Write \(T^\sharp : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{X}\) for the restriction of \((\iota \circ T^*)^*\) to \(\mathcal{A}\).

**Lemma 3.2.** The operator \(T^\sharp\) extends \(T\) and \(\|T^\sharp\| = \|T\|\).

**Proof.** Let \(a \in \mathcal{J}\) and \(g \in \mathcal{X}^*\). We have that

\[
T^\sharp(a)(g) = (\iota \circ T^*)(a)(g) = a((\iota(T^*(g)) = \iota(T^*(g))(a) = \hat{T^*(g)}(a) = T^*(g)(a) = g(Ta) = T(a)(g).
\]

Hence \(T^\sharp\) extends \(T\) and so \(\|T\| \leq \|T^\sharp\|\). On the other hand,

\[
\|T^\sharp\| \leq \|\iota \circ T^*\|| = \|\iota\| \|T^*\| \leq \|\iota\| \|T^*\| = \|T\|.
\]

**Lemma 3.3.** Let \(\mathcal{A}\) be a \(C^*\)-algebra, \(\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{A}\) be a closed two sided ideal and \(\Phi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}\) be a bounded operator which leaves \(\mathcal{J}\) invariant. Let \(\Phi_0 : \mathcal{J} \to \mathcal{J}\) be the operator defined by \(\Phi_0(x) = \Phi(x)\). Then \(h_n(\Phi_0) \leq h_n(\Phi)\) for each \(n \in \mathbb{N}\).
Proof. Write \( \iota_0 : \mathcal{J} \to \mathcal{A} \) for the inclusion map. In the supremum below, \( \mathcal{H} \) and \( \mathcal{K} \) are arbitrary Hilbert spaces. Using Lemma 3.2 we have that
\[
\begin{align*}
\| h_n(\Phi_0) \| &= \sup \{ s_n(A^\ast \Phi_0 B) : B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{J}), A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{K}) \text{ contractions} \} \\
&= \sup \{ s_n(A^\ast \Phi(\iota_0 B)) : B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{J}), A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{K}) \text{ contractions} \} \\
&\leq \sup \{ s_n(A_1^\ast \Phi_1 B_1) : B_1 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{A}), A_1 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{K}) \text{ contractions} \} \\
&= h_n(\Phi).
\end{align*}
\]

If \( \mathcal{X} \) is a Banach space, \( c \in \mathcal{X} \) and \( \phi \in \mathcal{X}^\ast \) we denote by \( \phi \otimes c \) the operator on \( \mathcal{X} \) defined by \( \phi \otimes c(x) = \phi(x)c \). We denote by \( \mathcal{F}_n(\mathcal{X}) \) the set of all operators \( F \) on \( \mathcal{X} \) of rank less than or equal to \( n \). It is well-known that \( \mathcal{F}_n(\mathcal{X}) = \{ \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i \otimes c_i : \phi_i \in \mathcal{X}^\ast, c_i \in \mathcal{X}, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \} \).

Lemma 3.4. Let \( \mathcal{A} \) be a \( C^\ast \)-algebra and \( \mathcal{J} \) be a closed two-sided ideal of \( \mathcal{A} \).

1. Assume that \( a, b \in \mathcal{J} \). Then \( \tilde{M}_{a,b}^\ast(x) = M_{a,b}(x) \) for every \( x \in \mathcal{A} \).
2. Let \( \phi_i \in \mathcal{J}^\ast, c_i \in \mathcal{J}^\ast, i = 1, \ldots, n \). Let \( F \) be the operator on \( \mathcal{J} \) defined by \( F = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i \otimes c_i \). Then \( \tilde{F}(x) = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i \otimes c_i \right)(x) \) for every \( x \in \mathcal{A} \).

Proof. (1) Let \( S = M_{a,b}, T = M_{a,b}^\ast, \phi \in \mathcal{J}^\ast \). First note that \( S^\ast(\tilde{\phi}) \) is an extension of \( T^\ast(\phi) \). Indeed, for every \( x \in \mathcal{J} \) we have that
\[
S^\ast(\tilde{\phi})(x) = \tilde{\phi}(Sx) = \phi(Tx) = T^\ast(\phi)(x).
\]
We show that \( S^\ast(\tilde{\phi}) \) is the Hahn-Banach extension of \( T^\ast(\phi) \). To this end, let \( x \in \mathcal{A} \) and \( \{ u_\lambda \}_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \subseteq \mathcal{J} \) be a contractive approximate unit for \( \mathcal{J} \). Then for each \( x \in \mathcal{A} \), \( au_\lambda xb \to_\lambda axb \) in norm and hence \( \phi(au_\lambda xb) \to_\lambda \phi(axb) \). We thus have that
\[
|S^\ast(\tilde{\phi})(x)| = |	ilde{\phi}(Sx)| = |\tilde{\phi}(axb)| = |\phi(axb)| = \lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |\phi(au_\lambda xb)|
\]
\[
= \lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |T^\ast(\phi)(u_\lambda x)| \leq \|T^\ast(\phi)\| \|x\|.
\]
It follows that \( \|S^\ast(\tilde{\phi})\| \leq \|T^\ast(\phi)\| \). Since \( S^\ast(\tilde{\phi}) \) extends \( T^\ast(\phi) \), we have that \( S^\ast(\tilde{\phi}) \) is the Hahn-Banach extension of \( T^\ast(\phi) \), that is, \( S^\ast(\tilde{\phi}) = \tilde{T}^\ast(\phi) \).

Let \( x \in \mathcal{A} \) and \( f \in \mathcal{A}^\ast \). Then \( f = \tilde{\phi} + \psi \) with \( \tilde{\phi} \in \mathcal{J}^\ast \) and \( \psi \in \mathcal{J}^\perp \) and
\[
\tilde{T}(x)(f) = \tilde{T}^\ast(\tilde{\phi})(x) = S^\ast(\tilde{\phi})(x) = \tilde{\phi}(Sx)
\]
\[
= (\tilde{\phi} + \psi)(Sx) = S^\ast(f)(x) = S(x)(f).
\]
(2) By Lemma 3.1(2) it suffices to show the statement in the case \( F = \phi_1 \otimes c_1 \), where \( \phi_1 \in \mathcal{J}^\ast \) and \( c_1 \in \mathcal{J} \). Let \( \phi \in \mathcal{J}^\ast \). We have that \( \tilde{F}^\ast(\tilde{\phi})(x) = \tilde{\phi}_1(x)\phi(c_1) \) for every \( x \in \mathcal{A} \). Indeed, the functional \( x \to \tilde{\phi}_1(x)\phi(c_1) \) extends \( F^\ast(\phi) \) and has
norm equal to the norm of $F^*(\phi)$ since $\|\phi_1\| = \|\tilde{\phi}_1\|$.
Let $x \in \mathcal{A}$ and $f \in \mathcal{A}^*$. We have $f = \tilde{\phi} + \psi$, where $\tilde{\phi} \in \mathcal{J}^*$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{J}^\perp$. Then
\[
\tilde{F}(x)(f) = \tilde{F}^*(x)(f) = \tilde{F}(f)(x) = \tilde{F}(\tilde{\phi} + \psi)(x) = F^*(\tilde{\phi})(x)
\]
\[
= \phi_1(x)\phi(c_1) = \tilde{\phi}_1(x)\phi_1(c_1) = \tilde{\phi}_1(x)f(c_1) = (\tilde{\phi}_1 \otimes c_1)(x)(f).
\]

\[\square\]

The following theorem is the main result of this section.

**Theorem 3.5.** Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a $C^*$-algebra, $\mathcal{J}$ be a closed two-sided ideal of $\mathcal{A}$ and $a, b \in \mathcal{J}$. Then for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that

\[
h_n\left(M_{a,b}^J\right) \leq h_n(M_{a,b}) \leq a_n(M_{a,b}) \leq a_n(M_{a,b}^J).
\]

**Proof.** The first inequality follows from Lemma 3.3 while the second one is trivial.

In what follows the operators $F$ for $F \in F_{n-1}(\mathcal{J})$ and $M_{a,b}^J$ are considered as operators from $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{A}$; this is possible by Lemma 3.4. It follows from Lemmas 3.1 and 3.4 that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

\[
a_n(M_{a,b}) = \inf \left\{ \|M_{a,b} - G\| : G \in F_{n-1}(\mathcal{A}) \right\}
\]
\[
\leq \inf \left\{ \left\| M_{a,b} - \tilde{F} \right\| : F \in F_{n-1}(\mathcal{J}) \right\}
\]
\[
= \inf \left\{ \left\| M_{a,b}^J - \tilde{F} \right\| : F \in F_{n-1}(\mathcal{J}) \right\}
\]
\[
= \inf \left\{ \left\| M_{a,b}^J - F \right\| : F \in F_{n-1}(\mathcal{J}) \right\} = a_n(M_{a,b}^J).
\]

\[\square\]

We close the section with a lemma which will be used in the proof of Theorem 5.6.

**Lemma 3.6.** Let $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{B(H)}$ be a $C^*$-algebra, $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}^{\text{wot}}$ and $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Assume that $A \in \mathcal{B}$. Then $d(M_{A,A}^B) \leq d\left( M_{A,A}^B \right)$.

**Proof.** Set $d\left( M_{A,A}^B \right) = (d_n)^\infty_{n=1}$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ be a linear space such that $\text{dim} \mathcal{F} < n$ and

\[
\inf_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \|AXA - F\| < d_n + \epsilon
\]

for each contraction $X \in \mathcal{B}$. It suffices to show that $\inf_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \|AYA - F\| \leq d_n + \epsilon$ for each contraction $Y \in \mathcal{A}$. Suppose this is not the case and let $Y \in \mathcal{A}$ be a contraction such that $\|AYA - F\| > d_n + \epsilon$, for each $F \in \mathcal{F}$. By the Kaplansky Density theorem, there exists a net $(X_\nu)_\nu \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ of contractions such that $X_\nu \rightharpoonup Y$ in the weak operator topology. Let $F_\nu \in \mathcal{F}$ be such that $\|AX_\nu A - F_\nu\| < d_n + \epsilon$. We have that $\|F_\nu\| \leq d_n + \epsilon + 1$ for each $\nu$, and hence we may assume without
Let weakly. It follows that
\[ \|AY - F_0\| \leq \liminf \|AX_\nu A - F_\nu\| \leq d_n + \epsilon, \]
a contradiction.

\[ \square \]

4. Elementary operators on \( \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \)

In this section we obtain estimates for the \( s \)-numbers of an elementary operator acting on \( \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) in terms of the singular numbers of its symbols. We formulate some of our results using tensor products. Recall [16] that a cross norm \( \tau \) is a norm defined simultaneously on all algebraic tensor products \( \mathcal{X} \otimes \mathcal{Y} \) of Banach spaces \( \mathcal{X} \) and \( \mathcal{Y} \) such that \( \tau(x \otimes y) = \|x\|\|y\| \) for all \( x \in \mathcal{X} \) and \( y \in \mathcal{Y} \). By \( \mathcal{X} \otimes \mathcal{Y} \) we denote the completion of the algebraic tensor product with respect to \( \tau \). A tensor norm is a cross norm \( \tau \) such that for every \( A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}) \) and \( B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{Y}') \) the linear operator \( A \otimes B : \mathcal{X} \otimes \mathcal{X}' \to \mathcal{Y} \otimes \mathcal{Y}' \) given by \( A \otimes B(x \otimes x') = Ax \otimes Bx' \) is bounded with respect to \( \tau \) and the norm of its extension \( A \otimes \tau B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X} \otimes \mathcal{X}', \mathcal{Y} \otimes \mathcal{Y}') \) satisfies the inequality \( \|A \otimes \tau B\| \leq ||A|| \|B\| \).

In Theorem 4.2 below we give an upper bound for the approximation numbers of the operator \( A \otimes \tau B \) in terms of the sequence \( s(A) \otimes s(B) \). We will need the following lemma which is due to König [12, Lemma 2].

**Lemma 4.1.** Let \( \tau \) be a tensor norm, \( \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} \) be Banach spaces, \( A \in \mathcal{B}(\ell_2, \mathcal{X}) \), \( B \in \mathcal{B}(\ell_2, \mathcal{Y}) \) and \((P_k)^n_{k=0}, (Q_k)^n_{k=0}\) be families of mutually orthogonal projections acting on \( \ell_2 \). Then
\[
\left\| \sum_{k=0}^n AP_k \otimes \tau BQ_k \right\|_{\ell_2 \otimes \ell_2 \to \mathcal{X} \otimes \mathcal{Y}} \leq \max_{0 \leq k \leq n} \{ \|AP_k\| \|BQ_k\| \}.
\]

**Theorem 4.2.** Let \( \mathcal{H} \) be a Hilbert space, \( A, B \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}) \) and \( \tau \) be a tensor norm. Then
\[
a(A \otimes \tau B) \leq 6.75 \ s(A) \otimes s(B).
\]

Consequently, if \( i \) and \( j \) are Calkin spaces, \( s(A) \in i \) and \( s(B) \in j \) and \( s \) is any \( s \)-function then \( s(A \otimes \tau B) \in i \otimes j \).

**Proof.** If \( \alpha = (\alpha_n)_{n=1}^\infty \) is a bounded sequence we write \( D_\alpha \in \mathcal{B}(\ell_2) \) for the diagonal operator given by \( D_\alpha((x_n)_{n=1}^\infty) = (\alpha_n x_n)_{n=1}^\infty \) for \((x_n)_{n=1}^\infty \in \ell_2 \). It suffices to prove the theorem in the case where \( A \) and \( B \) are diagonal operators in \( \mathcal{B}(\ell_2) \). Indeed, suppose that (7) holds in this case. By polar decomposition, there exist partial isometries \( U_A, U_B : \mathcal{H} \to \ell_2 \), \( V_A, V_B : \ell_2 \to \mathcal{H} \) and diagonal operators \( D_\alpha, D_\beta : \ell_2 \to \ell_2 \), where \( \alpha = s(A), \beta = s(B) \), such that \( A = V_A D_\alpha U_A \) and \( B = V_B D_\beta U_B \). Then
\[ A \otimes \tau B = (V_A \otimes V_B)(D_\alpha \otimes \tau D_\beta)(U_A \otimes \tau U_B), \]
and hence

\[ a(A \otimes B) \leq \|V_A \otimes \tau V_B\| a(D_\alpha \otimes \tau D_\beta) \|U_A \otimes \tau U_B\| \leq a(D_\alpha \otimes \tau D_\beta) \leq 6.75 \alpha \otimes \beta = 6.75 s(A) \otimes s(B). \]

So let \( A = D_\alpha : \ell_2 \to \ell_2, \ B = D_\beta : \ell_2 \to \ell_2 \), where \( \alpha = (\alpha_n)_{n=1}^\infty, \beta = (\beta_n)_{n=1}^\infty \) are non-negative decreasing sequences. We may further assume that \( \alpha_1, \beta_1 \leq 1 \). Set \( a_n = a_n(A \otimes \tau B) \) and fix \( \omega \) such that \( 0 < \omega < 1 \).

In what follows we use the notation introduced in 2.5. For every \( n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \) let

\[ K_n = K_n(\omega)(\alpha), \ L_n = K_n(\omega)(\beta), \ \widetilde{M}_n = \sum_{0 \leq i+j \leq n} K_i L_j, \ \widetilde{M}_{-1} = 0 \]

\[ P_n = \sum_{i \in K_n(\omega)(\alpha)} e_i^* \otimes e_i, \ Q_n = \sum_{i \in K_n(\omega)(\beta)} e_i^* \otimes e_i, \]

where \( (e_n)_{n=0}^\infty \) is the standard basis of \( \ell_2 \).

Let \( A_n = A P_n, \ B_n = B Q_n \) and \( E_n = \sum_{0 \leq k+l \leq n} A_k \otimes \tau B_l \). Clearly, \( \|A_n\| \leq \omega^n, \|B_n\| \leq \omega^n \) and \( \text{rank} E_n \leq \widetilde{M}_n \). Moreover,

\[ A = \sum_{n=0}^\infty A_n, \ B = \sum_{n=0}^\infty B_n, \ A \otimes \tau B = \sum_{n,m=0}^\infty A_n \otimes \tau B_m, \]

where the series are absolutely convergent in the norm topology. Hence,

\[ a_{\widetilde{M}_{n+1}}(A \otimes \tau B) \leq \|A \otimes \tau B - E_n\| \leq \sum_{N=n+1}^\infty \left\| \sum_{k+l=N} A_k \otimes \tau B_l \right\|. \]

By Lemma 4.1,

\[ \left\| \sum_{k+l=N} A_k \otimes \tau B_l \right\| = \left\| \sum_{k=0}^N A P_k \otimes \tau B Q_{N-k} \right\| \leq \max_{0 \leq k \leq N} \|A_k\| \|B_{N-k}\| \]

\[ \leq \max_{0 \leq k \leq N} \omega^k \omega^{N-k} = \omega^N \]

and so

\[ a_{\widetilde{M}_{n+1}} \leq \sum_{N=n+1}^\infty \omega^N = \frac{1}{1-\omega} \omega^{n+1}. \]
By the monotonicity of the approximation numbers, Lemma 2.2, (1) and (8) we obtain
\[
\left( a_n \right)_{n=1}^{\infty} = \left( \left( a_j \right)_{j=M_{n+1}}^{M_{n+1}} \right)_{n=1}^{\infty} \leq \left( \left( a_{M_{n+1}} \right)_{M_{n+1}+1}^{M_{n+1}} \right)_{n=1}^{\infty}
\]
\[
\leq \frac{1}{1 - \omega} \left( (\omega^{n+1})_{M_{n+1}+1}^{M_{n+1}} \right)_{n=1}^{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{1 - \omega} \left( (\omega^n)_{\sum_{i+j=n} K_i L_j}^{\infty} \right)_{n=0}^{\infty}
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{1 - \omega} \left( (\omega^n)_{n=0}^{\infty} \otimes (\omega^n)_{n=0}^{\infty} \right)
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{\omega^2 (1 - \omega)} \left( (\omega^{n+1})_{n=0}^{\infty} \otimes (\omega^{n+1})_{n=0}^{\infty} \right)
\]
\[
\leq \frac{1}{\omega^2 (1 - \omega)} \left( s(A) \otimes s(B) \right).
\]
The minimal value of \( \frac{1}{\omega^2 (1 - \omega)} \) for \( \omega \in (0, 1) \) is 6.75, and so
\[
a \left( A \otimes \tau B \right) \leq 6.75 s(A) \otimes s(B).
\]
\[\square\]

Theorems 4.2 and 2.10 yield the following corollary.

**Corollary 4.3.** Let \( w = (w_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \) be a weight sequence with \( w_{mn} \leq C w_m w_n \) for all \( m,n \) and \( A, B \in \mathbf{K} (\mathcal{H}) \) be operators with \( s(A), s(B) \in \ell_{w,p} \). Then
\[
\| a (A \otimes \tau B) \|_{w,p} \leq 6.75 C^{1/p} \| s(A) \|_{w,p} \| s(B) \|_{w,p}.
\]

Consider the weight sequence \( w = (w_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \), where \( w_n = \frac{(1 + \ln n)^\gamma}{n^\alpha} \). If \( 0 < \alpha \leq 1 \) and \( \gamma \geq 0 \), then \( w_{mn} \leq w_m w_n \) for all \( m,n \). Hence Corollary 4.3 extends results of H. König ([12, Proposition 3]) and F. Cobos and L. M. Fernández-Cabrera [6].

For the rest of the paper, we will be concerned with elementary operators. Let \( A, B \) be compact operators in \( \mathbf{B} (\mathcal{H}) \). We recall that \( M_{A,B} \) is the operator \( \mathbf{B} (\mathcal{H}) \to \mathbf{B} (\mathcal{H}) \) defined by \( M_{A,B}(X) = AXB \) and \( M_{A,B}^{K(\mathcal{H})} \) is the operator \( \mathbf{K} (\mathcal{H}) \to \mathbf{K} (\mathcal{H}) \) defined by \( M_{A,B}^{K(\mathcal{H})}(X) = AXB \). Theorems 3.5 and 4.2 imply the following corollary.

**Corollary 4.4.** Let \( A, B \) be compact operators in \( \mathbf{B} (\mathcal{H}) \). Then
\[
a(M_{A,B}) \leq a(M_{A,B}^{K(\mathcal{H})}) \leq 6.75 \ s(A) \otimes s(B).
\]

*Proof.* For every \( x \in \mathcal{H} \) we denote by \( f_x \) the functional on \( \mathcal{H} \) defined by \( f_x(y) = \langle y, x \rangle \). The *conjugate space* \( \mathcal{H}^* \) of \( \mathcal{H} \) is defined to be the set \( \{ f_x : x \in \mathcal{H} \} \) with vector space operations \( f_x + f_y = f_{x+y}, \lambda f_x = f_{\lambda x} \) and inner product given by \( \langle f_x, f_y \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle \). For every \( A \in \mathbf{B} (\mathcal{H}) \) we denote by \( \bar{A} \in \mathbf{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) the operator defined by \( \bar{A}(f_x) = f_{Ax} \).

Note that the map \( A \mapsto \bar{A} \) is a surjective conjugate linear isometry and that \( s(A) = s(\bar{A}) \), for every compact operator \( A \).
Let \( \epsilon \) be the injective tensor norm. The mapping \( F : \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) given by \( F(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \otimes y_{i}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \otimes y_{i} \) is a linear isometry ([19, Ch. IV, Theorem 2.5]) of \( \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H} \) onto \( \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}) \).

We define \( \tilde{F} : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})) \) by \( \tilde{F}(T) = F \circ T \circ F^{-1} \). Clearly \( \tilde{F} \) is a surjective linear isometry and \( \tilde{F}(T) \) is given by \( \tilde{F}(T)(x^* \otimes y) = F(T(f_{x} \otimes y)) \) for \( x, y \in \mathcal{H} \).

For every \( \tilde{A} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \), where \( A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \), and every \( B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) we have that

\[
\tilde{F}(\tilde{A} \otimes \epsilon B) = M^{K(H)}_{B,A}.
\]

Indeed, for every \( x, y \in \mathcal{H} \),

\[
\tilde{F}(\tilde{A} \otimes \epsilon B)(x^* \otimes y) = F(\tilde{A} \otimes \epsilon B)(f_{x} \otimes y) = F(\tilde{A}f_{x} \otimes B y) = (Ax^*) \otimes By = B(x^* \otimes y)A* = M^{K(H)}_{B,A^*}(x^* \otimes y).
\]

So if \( A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) by (9) and Theorems 3.5 and 4.2 we have that

\[
a(M_{A,B}) \leq a\left( M^{K(H)}_{A,B} \right) = a\left( \tilde{F}(\tilde{B} \otimes \epsilon A^*) \right) = a(\tilde{B} \otimes \epsilon A^*) \leq 6.75s(B) \otimes s(A^*) = 6.75s(A) \otimes s(B).
\]

\[ \square \]

**Proposition 4.5.** Let \( \mathcal{A} \) be a \( C^* \)-subalgebra of \( \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) such that \( \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq \mathcal{A} \). Let \( A_{i}, B_{i} \in \mathcal{A}, i = 1, \ldots, m, \) and \( \Phi = \sum_{i=1}^{m} M_{A_{i},B_{i}} \). If the operators \( A_{i} \) (resp. \( B_{i} \)), \( i = 1, \ldots, m \), are linearly independent then there exists \( r \in \mathbb{N} \) and a constant \( C > 0 \) such that for every \( n \) and for every \( i = 1, \ldots, m \),

\[
s_{r n - r + 1}(A_{i}) \leq C h_{n}(\Phi) \quad (\text{resp. } s_{r n - r + 1}(B_{i}) \leq C h_{n}(\Phi)).
\]

In particular, if \( i \) is a Calkin space and \( h(\Phi) \in i \) then \( s(A_{i}) \in i \) (resp. \( s(B_{i}) \in i \)) for every \( i = 1, \ldots, m \).

**Proof.** We will only consider the case where the operators \( B_{i} \), \( i = 1, \ldots, m \), are linearly independent. The other case can be treated similarly.

By [10, Lemma 1], there exist \( r \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( \xi_{i}, \eta_{i} \in \mathcal{H}, i = 1, \ldots, r, \) such that

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{r} \langle B_{i} \eta_{j}, \xi_{j} \rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } i = 2, \ldots, m \end{cases}.
\]

Let \( \phi_{j} : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{A}, j = 1, \ldots, r, \) be the operators given by \( \phi_{j}(\xi) = \xi_{j}^* \otimes \xi, \) \( \psi_{j} : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{H}, j = 1, \ldots, r, \) be the operators given by \( \psi_{j}(B) = B\eta_{j} \) and

\[
S = \sum_{j=1}^{r} \psi_{j} \circ \Phi \circ \phi_{j} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{r} \psi_{j} \circ M_{A_{i},B_{i}} \circ \phi_{j}.
\]
For \( \xi \in \mathcal{H} \) we have
\[
(\psi_j \circ M_{A_i,B_i} \circ \phi_j)(\xi) = \psi_j(A_i \phi_j(\xi) B_i) = \psi_j(A_i(\xi_j^* \otimes \xi) B_i) = \psi_j((B_i^* \xi_j)^* \otimes A_i \xi) = \langle \eta_j, B_i^* \xi_j \rangle A_i \xi = \langle B_i \eta_j, \xi \rangle A_i \xi
\]
and hence
\[
S = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{r} (B_i \eta_j, \xi_j) \right) A_i = A_1.
\]
By the additivity of the singular numbers, we have that
\[
s_{r_{n-r+1}}(A_1) = s_{r_{n-r+1}}(S) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{r} s_n(\psi_j \circ \Phi \circ \phi_j), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.
\]
Let \( C = r \max_{j=1, \ldots, r} \|\psi_j\| \|\phi_j\| \). Then \( s_n(\psi_j \circ \Phi \circ \phi_j) \leq \|\psi_j\| \|\phi_j\| h_n(\Phi) \) and so
\[
s_{r_{n-r+1}}(A_1) \leq C h_n(\Phi), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.
\]
Finally, by the monotonicity of \( s \)-numbers, we have that
\[
s(A_1) = (s_n(A_1))_{n=1}^{\infty} = ((s_{r_{n-r+1+k}}(A_1))_{k=0}^{r-1})_{n=1}^{\infty} \leq ((s_{r_{n-r+1}}(A_1)))_{n=1}^{\infty} \leq C (h_n(\Phi))_{n=1}^{\infty}.
\]
If \( i \) is a Calkin space and \( h(\Phi) \in i \), Lemma 2.4 implies that \( (h_n(\Phi))_{n=1}^{\infty} \in i \). It follows that \( s(A_1) \in i \). \( \square \)

The following theorem is the main result of this section.

**Theorem 4.6.** Let \( \Phi \) be an elementary operator on \( B(\mathcal{H}) \) (resp. on \( K(\mathcal{H}) \)), \( i \) be a stable Calkin space and \( s \) be an \( s \)-function. Then \( s(\Phi) \in i \) if and only if there exist \( m \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( A_i, B_i \in B(\mathcal{H}), i = 1, \ldots, m \), such that \( \Phi = \sum_{i=1}^{m} M_{A_i,B_i} \) and \( s(A_i), s(B_i) \in i \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, m \).

**Proof.** We prove the Theorem in the case where \( \Phi \) is an elementary operator on \( B(\mathcal{H}) \). The proof in the case where \( \Phi \) is an elementary operator on \( K(\mathcal{H}) \) is similar.

Suppose that \( s(\Phi) \in i \). Let \( \Phi = \sum_{i=1}^{m} M_{A_i,B_i} \) be a representation of \( \Phi \) where \( m \) is minimal. Then \( A_i \) (resp. \( B_i \), \( i = 1, \ldots, m \), are linearly independent. Since \( h(\Phi) \leq s(\Phi) \) we have that \( h(\Phi) \in i \). By Proposition 4.5, \( s(A_i), s(B_i) \in i \) for every \( i = 1, \ldots, m \).

Conversely, suppose that \( \Phi = \sum_{i=1}^{m} M_{A_i,B_i} \) where \( s(A_i), s(B_i) \in i \) for every \( i = 1, \ldots, m \). Since \( i \) is stable, Corollary 4.4 implies that \( a(M_{A_i,B_i}) \in i \). By the additivity of the approximation numbers, \( a(\Phi) \in i \) and so \( s(\Phi) \in i \). \( \square \)

Theorem 4.4 provides an upper bound for the the approximation numbers of \( M_{A,B} \) in terms of the sequence \( s(A) \otimes s(B) \). In the following proposition we obtain a lower bound for the Hilbert numbers of \( M_{A,B} \) in terms of the sequence \( s(A) \otimes s(B) \). For \( 1 \leq p < \infty \) we denote by \( (S_p, \| \cdot \|_p) \) the Schatten \( p \)-class, that is, the space of all operators \( A \in K(\mathcal{H}) \) such that \( s(A) \in l^p \), where the norm is
given by \( \|A\|_p = (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |s(A)|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \). If \( \alpha = (\alpha_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \) and \( \beta = (\beta_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \) are sequences of complex numbers we denote by \( \alpha \beta \) the sequence \((\alpha_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}\)(\((\beta_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}\)).

**Proposition 4.7.** Let \( A, B \) be compact operators in \( \mathbf{B}(\mathcal{H}) \). The following hold:

1. If \( \lambda \) and \( \mu \) are sequences of unit norm in \( \ell_4^+ \) then \( h(M_{A,B}) \geq (\lambda s(A)) \otimes (\mu s(B)) \).
2. If \( \lambda \) is a sequence of unit norm in \( \ell_2^+ \) then \( h(M_{A,B}) \geq (\lambda s(A)) \otimes s(B) \) and \( h(M_{A,B}) \geq s(A) \otimes (\lambda s(B)) \).

In particular,

\[
(10) \quad h_n(M_{A,B}) \geq \frac{(s(A) \otimes s(B))(n)}{\sqrt{n}}.
\]

**Proof.** (1) Let \( A, B \in \mathbf{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) be compact operators of norm one and \( A^* = U|A^*| \) and \( B = V|B| \) be the polar decompositions of \( A^* \) and \( B \), respectively. Let \( s(A) = (\alpha_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}, \ s(B) = (\beta_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \) and

\[
|A^*| = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i e_i^* \otimes e_i \quad \text{and} \quad |B| = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta_j f_j^* \otimes f_j
\]

be Schmidt expansions of \(|A^*|\) and \(|B|\), respectively. Let \( \mathcal{K} \) be the closed subspace of \( \mathcal{S}_2 \) spanned by the family \( \{f_i^* \otimes e_j, i, j\} \) and \( F : \mathcal{K} \to \mathbf{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) be the map given by \( F(X) = U X V^* \). Clearly \( \|F\| \leq 1 \).

Consider sequences \( \lambda = (\lambda_i), \mu = (\mu_j) \in \ell_4^+ \) of unit norm and let \( D_{\lambda}, D_{\mu} \in \mathbf{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) be the operators given by

\[
D_{\lambda} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i e_i^* \otimes e_i, \quad D_{\mu} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_j f_j^* \otimes f_j.
\]

Let \( G : \mathbf{B}(\mathcal{H}) \to \mathcal{K} \) be the operator given by \( G(Y) = D_{\lambda} Y D_{\mu} \). Since

\[
\|D_{\lambda} Y D_{\mu}\|_2 \leq \|D_{\lambda}\|_4 \|D_{\mu}\|_4 \|Y\| \leq \|Y\|
\]

the operator \( G \) is well defined and \( \|G\| \leq 1 \). The family \( \{f_i^* \otimes e_j, i, j\} \) is an orthonormal basis of \( \mathcal{K} \) and

\[
(G \circ M_{A,B} \circ F)(f_i^* \otimes e_j) = \lambda_j \alpha_j e_i^* \otimes e_j.
\]

It follows that

\[
h_n(M_{A,B}) \geq s_n(G \circ M_{A,B} \circ F) = (\lambda s(A) \otimes \mu s(B))(n)
\]

and (1) is proved. The proof of (2) is similar.

We show inequality (10). Let \( s(A) \otimes s(B) = (\nu_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) and \( \pi : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \), \( \pi(n) = (i_n, j_n) \) be a bijection such that \( \nu_n = \alpha_{i_n} \beta_{j_n} \). We set \( \lambda = (\lambda_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}, \mu = (\mu_j)_{j=1}^{\infty} \) where
\[ \lambda_i = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} & \text{if } i \in \{i_1, \ldots, i_n\} \\ 0 & \text{if } i \notin \{i_1, \ldots, i_n\} \end{cases}, \quad \mu_j = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} & \text{if } i \in \{j_1, \ldots, j_n\} \\ 0 & \text{if } i \notin \{j_1, \ldots, j_n\} \end{cases}. \]

We have that \( (\lambda_s(A) \otimes \mu_s(B))(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \nu_k \) for every \( k = 1, \ldots, n \) and so \( h_n(M_{A,B}) \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \nu_n \).

It follows from Theorem 4.6 that if the \( s \)-numbers of the symbols of an elementary operator \( \Phi \) belong to a stable Calkin space \( i \) then the \( s \)-numbers of \( \Phi \) belong also to \( i \). In what follows we show that this is not true without the assumption that \( i \) be stable.

**Proposition 4.8.** Let \( \omega \in (0,1) \) and \( i \) be the principal Calkin space generated by the sequence \( \omega = (\omega^{n-1})_{n=1}^\infty \). Then there exists \( A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) such that \( s(A) \in i \) and \( h(M_{A,A}) \notin i \).

**Proof.** Let \( A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) be such that \( s(A) = \omega \). We will show that \( h(M_{A,A}) \notin i \). By Proposition 4.7 it suffices to show that the sequence \( \alpha = \left( \frac{1}{n} (\omega \otimes \omega)(n) \right)_{n=1}^\infty \) does not belong to \( i \), or (by Lemma 2.7) that for every \( r \in \mathbb{N} \), \( \alpha \not\sim r \otimes \omega \).

Suppose that there exist \( r_0 \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( C > 0 \) such that \( \alpha \leq C \beta_0 \otimes \omega \). Let \( \alpha = (\alpha_n)_{n=1}^\infty \) and \( r_0 \otimes \omega = (\beta_n)_{n=1}^\infty \). Then for every \( m \) we have that

\[ \beta_{r_0m} = \omega^{m-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_{m(m+1)} = \frac{2}{m(m+1)} \omega^{m-1}. \]

So, if \( r \) is an even positive integer and \( n(r) = \frac{rr_0(rr_0+1)}{2} \) we have that

\[ \frac{2}{rr_0(rr_0+1)} \omega^{rr_0-1} = \alpha_{n(r)} \leq C \beta_{n(r)} = C \omega^{\frac{r(rr_0+1)}{2}} - 1, \]

which leads to a contradiction. \( \square \)

5. Elementary operators on \( C^* \)-algebras

Let \( \mathcal{A} \) be a \( C^* \)-algebra. Recall that an element \( a \in \mathcal{A} \) is called _compact_ if the operator \( M_{a,a} : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A} \) is compact. We denote by \( \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{A}) \) the closed two-sided ideal of all compact elements of \( \mathcal{A} \). The _spectrum_ of \( \mathcal{A} \) is the set of unitary equivalence classes of non-zero irreducible representations of \( \mathcal{A} \). We will need two lemmas which follow from [15, §5.5].

**Lemma 5.1.** Let \( (\rho, \mathcal{H}) = \left( \bigoplus_{i \in I} \rho_i, \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathcal{H}_i \right) \) be the reduced atomic representation of \( \mathcal{A} \) where \( \{ (\rho_i, \mathcal{H}_i), i \in I \} \) is a maximal family of unitarily inequivalent irreducible representations of \( \mathcal{A} \). Let \( J = \{ i \in I : \rho_i(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{A})) \neq \{0\} \} \). Let \( \sigma_i \) be the restriction of \( \rho_i \) to \( \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{A}) \). Then the representation \( \sigma = \left( \bigoplus_{i \in J} \sigma_i, \bigoplus_{i \in J} \mathcal{H}_i \right) \) is the reduced atomic representation of \( \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{A}) \).
Lemma 5.2. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a $C^*$-algebra such that $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\sigma = (\bigoplus_{i \in J} \sigma_i, \bigoplus_{i \in J} \mathcal{H}_i)$ be the reduced atomic representation of $\mathcal{A}$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ has finite spectrum if and only if $J$ is finite. In this case, $\sigma(\mathcal{A}) = \sum_{i \in J} \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}_i)$.

Theorem 5.3. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a $C^*$-algebra, $\mathcal{i}$ be a stable Calkin space and $s$ be an $s$-function. Let $\Phi$ be a compact elementary operator on $\mathcal{A}$.

1. Suppose that

$$\Phi = \sum_{i=1}^{m} M_{a_i,b_i}, \quad a_i,b_i \in \mathcal{A}, \quad i = 1,\ldots,m,$$

and that $\pi$ is a faithful representation of $\mathcal{A}$ such that $s(\pi(a_i)), s(\pi(b_i)) \in i, i = 1,\ldots,m$. Then $s(\Phi) \in i$.

2. Suppose that $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{A})$ has finite spectrum and that $s(\Phi) \in i$. Then there exist a representation $\sum_{i=1}^{m} M_{a_i,b_i}, \quad a_i,b_i \in \mathcal{A}, \quad i = 1,\ldots,m$, of $\Phi$ and a faithful representation $\pi$ of $\mathcal{A}$ such that $s(\pi(a_i)), s(\pi(b_i)) \in i, i = 1,\ldots,m$.

Proof. (1) Since $s_n(\Phi) \leq a_n(\Phi)$ for each $n$, it suffices to show that $a(\Phi) \in i$. By the additivity of the approximation numbers we have that $a_{m^{-1}+1}(\Phi) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_n(M_{a_i,b_i})$. If $a(M_{a_i,b_i}) \in i$ for each $i = 1,\ldots,m$, Lemma 2.4 implies that $a(\Phi) \in i$. Thus, we may assume that $\Phi = M_{a,b}$, where $a,b \in \mathcal{A}$.

Let $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a faithful representation such that $s(\pi(a)), s(\pi(b)) \in i$. Let $A = \pi(a)$ and $B = \pi(b)$. We denote by $M_{A,B}$ the corresponding elementary operator acting on $\pi(\mathcal{A})$. Clearly, $A$ and $B$ are compact operators and $a(\Phi) = a(M_{A,B})$. Let $\mathcal{J} = \pi(\mathcal{A}) \cap \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H})$. By Theorem 3.5,

$$a_n(M_{A,B}) \leq a_n(M_{A,B}^\mathcal{J}), \quad \text{for every } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The $C^*$-algebra $\mathcal{J}$ is equal to a $c_0$-direct sum $\bigoplus_{i \in J} \mathcal{J}_i$, where $\mathcal{J}_i = CI_{m_i} \otimes \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}_i)$ where $m_i$ is a positive integer, $I_{m_i}$ is the identity operator on a Hilbert space of dimension $m_i$ and $\mathcal{H}_i$ is a Hilbert space [3, Theorem 1.4.5].

Let $\Theta : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H})$ be the canonical injection and $\Delta : \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}$ the operator given by $\Delta(X) = \sum_{i \in I} P_i XP_i$, where $P_i = I_{m_i} \otimes Q_i$ and $Q_i$ is the orthogonal projection from $\bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathcal{H}_i$ onto $\mathcal{H}_i$. We have that $M_{A,B}^\mathcal{J} = \Delta \circ M_{A,B}^{\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H})} \circ \Theta$ where $M_{A,B}^{\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H})}$ is the corresponding elementary operator acting on $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$. Thus,

$$a_n(M_{A,B}^\mathcal{J}) \leq \|\Delta\| a_n\left(M_{A,B}^{\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H})}\right) \|\Theta\| \leq a_n\left(M_{A,B}^{\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H})}\right).$$

By Corollary 4.4, $a(\Phi) \in i$.

(2) We identify $\mathcal{A}$ with $\rho(\mathcal{A})$ where $(\rho, \mathcal{H}) = (\bigoplus_{i \in I} \rho_i, \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathcal{H}_i)$ is the reduced atomic representation of $\mathcal{A}$. By [20, Theorem 3.1], there exist $A_{0j}, B_{0j} \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{A})$, $j = 1,\ldots,m$, such that $\Phi = \sum_{j=1}^{m} M_{A_{0j},B_{0j}}$. Since $h_n(\Phi) \leq s_n(\Phi)$ for each $n$, we may assume that $s = h$. Let $\Phi_0 : \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{A})$ be the operator defined by $\Phi_0(X) = \Phi(X)$. By Lemma 3.3, $h(\Phi_0) \in i$. Consequently, the $C^*$-algebra
The implication (1) = □

**Proof.** The implication (1) \(\implies\) (2) follows from Theorem 5.3. We prove that (2) implies (1). Suppose that

\[ \sigma = \sum_{i=1}^{n} M_{a_i,b_i} \]

is an elementary operator on \(\mathcal{A}\) such that \(s(\pi(a_i)), s(\pi(b_i)) \in \mathfrak{i}\) for every \(i = 1, \ldots, n\).

Let \(\sigma\) be the reduced atomic representation of \(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{A})\). Then

\[ \sigma(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{A})) = \bigoplus_{j \in J} \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_j). \]

It follows from Lemma 5.2 that \(J\) is infinite. Choose an infinite countable subfamily \(\{\mathcal{H}_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}\) of the family \(J\). For each \(j \in \mathbb{N}\), consider a unit vector \(e_j \in \mathcal{H}_j\).

Let \(r_j\) be the projection of \(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{A})\) such that \(\sigma(r_j) = e_j^* \otimes e_j\) and \((\lambda_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}\) be a decreasing sequence of positive real numbers belonging to \(\ell_{2p}\) but not to \(\ell_p\). We set \(c = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j r_j\), \(p_k = \sum_{j=1}^{k} r_j\) and \(\Phi = M_{c,c} \in B(\mathcal{A})\). We will show that \(a(\Phi) \in \ell_p\).

Let \(\rho\) be the reduced atomic representation of \(\mathcal{A}\). Let \(c_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i r_i\). It follows from Lemma 5.1 that

\[ M_{\rho(c_n),\rho(c_n)}(\rho(a)) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_i(r_i) \rho_i(a) \sigma_i(r_i) \]
and hence the operator $M_{\rho(c),\rho(c)}$ is an operator of rank $n$. It also follows from Lemma 5.1 that $M_{\rho(c),\rho(c)} - M_{\rho(c^{-1}),\rho(c^{-1})} = M_{\rho(c^{-1}),\rho(c^{-1})}$. Hence,

$$a_n(M_{c,c}) = a_n(M_{\rho(c),\rho(c)}) \leq \|\rho(c - c_{n-1})\|_2 \leq \lambda_n^2,$$

and so $a(\Phi) \in \ell_p$.

Assume that there exist a faithful representation $\pi : A \to B(H)$ and elements $a_i, b_i \in K(A)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$, such that $s(\pi(a_i)) = s(\pi(b_i)) \in \ell_p$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, and $\Phi = \sum_{i=1}^n M_{a_i,b_i}$. We have $\Phi(p_k) = cp_k c = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i p_k b_i$. Hence $\pi(c)\pi(p_k)\pi(c) = \sum_{i=1}^n \pi(a_i)\pi(p_k)\pi(b_i)$ and by continuity

$$\pi(c)\pi(p(c)) = \sum_{i=1}^n \pi(a_i)P\pi(b_i),$$

where $P = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \pi(r_j)$ is the sot-limit of the sequence $(\pi(p_k))_{k=1}^\infty$.

It follows from (12) that $\pi(c)\pi(p(c)) \in S_{p/2}$. On the other hand

$$\pi(c)\pi(p(c)) = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \lambda_j^2 \pi(r_j).$$

It follows that $(\lambda_j^2) \in \ell_{p/2}$ and so $(\lambda_j) \in \ell_p$, a contradiction.  

We note the following corollary of Theorem 5.3.

**Corollary 5.5.** Let $A$ be a $C^*$-algebra such that $K(A)$ has finite spectrum, $i$ be a stable Calkin space and $s$ be an additive $s$-function. Let $\Phi$ be an elementary operator on $A$ such that $s(\Phi) \in i$. Then $\Phi$ is a linear combination of four positive elementary operators $\Phi_j$, $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ such that $s(\Phi_j) \in i$ for every $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

**Proof.** By assertion (2) of Theorem 5.3, there exist a representation $\sum_{i=1}^m M_{a_i,b_i}$, $a_i, b_i \in A$, $i = 1, \ldots, m$, of $\Phi$ and a faithful representation $\pi$ of $A$ such that $s(\pi(a_i)), s(\pi(b_i)) \in i$, $i = 1, \ldots, m$. Let $\Phi^\pm(x) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^m (a_i \pm b_i^*) x (a_i^* \mp b_i)$ and $\Psi^\pm(x) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^m (a_i \pm ib_i^*) x (a_i^* \mp ib_i)$. Clearly, all operators $\Phi^\pm, \Psi^\pm$ are positive. By assertion (1) of Theorem 5.3, $s(\Phi^\pm), s(\Psi^\pm) \in i$. A straightforward verification shows that $\Phi = \Phi^+ - \Phi^- + i(\Psi^+ - \Psi^-)$. The proof is complete.  

We close this section by proving a result which may be viewed as a quantitative version of a result of Ylinen [21].

**Theorem 5.6.** Let $A$ be a $C^*$-algebra, $a \in A$ and $i$ be a Calkin space. Assume that $d(M_{a,a}) \in i$. Then $s(\rho(a))^2 \in i$ where $(\rho, H)$ is the reduced atomic representation of $A$.

**Proof.** Since $d(M_{a,a}) \in i$ the operator $M_{a,a}$ is compact and it follows from [21] that $\rho(a)$ is compact.

Let $(\rho, H) = (\bigoplus_{i \in I} \rho_i, \bigoplus_{i \in I} H_i)$. Set $C = \bigoplus_{i \in I} B(H_i)$. 

Let $\Phi : C \to C$ be the operator defined by $\Phi(X) = \rho(a)X\rho(a)$. Since $\overline{\rho(A)}^\wot = C$, Lemma 3.6 implies that $d(\Phi) \leq d(M_{\rho(a)}\rho(a))$ and so $d(\Phi) \in i$.

Let $\rho(a) = UA$ be the polar decomposition of $\rho(a)$ and $A = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i e_i^{\perp} \otimes e_i$ be a Schmidt expansion of $A$. Define $\alpha : \ell_\infty \to C$ by $\alpha((x_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i e_i^{*} \otimes e_i$ and $\beta : C \to \ell_\infty$ by $\beta(X) = ((Xe_i, e_i))_{i=1}^{\infty}$. Consider the map $\Psi : \ell_\infty \to \ell_\infty$ defined by $\Psi((x_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}) = \beta(U^*\Phi(\alpha((x_i)_{i=1}^{\infty})U))$. Since $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are contractions we have $d(\Psi) \leq d(\Phi)$ and so $d(\Psi) \in i$. A direct calculation shows that $\Psi((x_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}) = (\lambda_i x_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$. It follows [16, Theorem 11.11.3] that $d(\Psi) = (\lambda_i^2)_{i=1}^{\infty}$. Hence, $s(A)^2 \in i$. □
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