

Candidate Number:

**QUB/Institute of Professional Legal Studies  
and UU/Graduate School of Professional Legal Education**

**Admissions Test December 2013  
Section B**

1. Austin McKittrick should be prosecuted for burglary of The Garret contrary to Section 9(1)(a) of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
2. Austin McKittrick should be prosecuted for burglary of The Garret contrary to Section 9(1)(b) of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
3. Austin McKittrick should be prosecuted for robbery of Oliver and Etienne Sweeney of a bottle of champagne contrary to Section 8 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
4. Austin McKittrick should be prosecuted for theft of a set of keys belonging to John Howells contrary to Section 1 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
5. Austin McKittrick should be prosecuted for burglary of the store contrary to Section 9(1)(a) of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
6. Austin McKittrick should be prosecuted for burglary of the store contrary to Section 9(1)(b) of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
7. Austin McKittrick should be prosecuted for theft of a quantity of clothes belonging to Declan Kincaid contrary to Section 1 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
8. Austin McKittrick should be prosecuted for unlawfully damaging a carpet belonging to Oliver and Etienne Sweeney contrary to Article 3(1) of the Criminal Damage (Northern Ireland) Order 1977.
9. Austin McKittrick should be prosecuted for unlawfully damaging a carpet belonging to McKittrick & Son Limited contrary to Article 3(1) of the Criminal Damage (Northern Ireland) Order 1977.
10. Austin McKittrick should be prosecuted for unlawfully assaulting Peter Bark contrary to Section 42 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861.
11. Austin McKittrick should be prosecuted for unlawfully assaulting Peter Bark, thereby occasioning him actual bodily harm, contrary to Section 47 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861.
12. Brendan Lavery should be prosecuted for impeding a constable in the execution of his duty contrary to Section 66 of the Police (Northern Ireland) Act 1998.
13. Brendan Lavery should be prosecuted for threatening to kill Chloe Hannigan contrary to Section 16 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861.

Candidate Number:

**QUB/Institute of Professional Legal Studies  
and UU/Graduate School of Professional Legal Education**

**Admissions Test December 2013  
Section B**

14. Brendan Lavery should be prosecuted for unlawfully assaulting a police constable in the execution of his duty contrary to Section 66 of the Police (Northern Ireland) Act 1998.
15. Brendan Lavery should be prosecuted for unlawfully assaulting Chloe Hannigan contrary to Section 42 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861.
16. Brendan Lavery should be prosecuted for unlawfully assaulting Chloe Hannigan thereby occasioning her actual bodily harm contrary to Section 47 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861.
17. Brendan Lavery should be prosecuted for unlawfully assaulting Peter Bark contrary to Section 42 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861.
18. Brendan Lavery should be prosecuted for unlawfully assaulting Peter Bark thereby occasioning him actual bodily harm contrary to Section 47 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861.
19. Carla Foster should be prosecuted for burglary of Primadonna contrary to section 9(1)(a) of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
20. Carla Foster should be prosecuted for burglary of Primadonna contrary to Section 9(1)(b) of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
21. Carla Foster should be prosecuted for handling stolen goods, namely a jet bead necklace, contrary to Section 21(1) of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
22. Carla Foster should be prosecuted for handling stolen goods, namely cigarettes, contrary to Section 21(1) of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
23. Carla Foster should be prosecuted for robbery of Claudia Clarke of a jet bead necklace contrary to Section 8 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
24. Carla Foster should be prosecuted for theft of a jet bead necklace belonging to Claudia Clarke trading as Primadonna contrary to Section 1 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
25. Chloe Hannigan should be prosecuted for burglary of Primadonna contrary to Section 9(1)(b) of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
26. Chloe Hannigan should be prosecuted for handling stolen goods, namely a jet bead necklace, contrary to Section 21(1) of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.

Candidate Number:

**QUB/Institute of Professional Legal Studies  
and UU/Graduate School of Professional Legal Education**

**Admissions Test December 2013  
Section B**

27. Chloe Hannigan should be prosecuted for theft of a jet bead necklace belonging to Claudia Clarke contrary to Section 1 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
28. Chloe Hannigan should be prosecuted for unlawfully damaging a carpet belonging to Oliver and Etienne Sweeney contrary to Article 3(1) of the Criminal Damage (Northern Ireland) Order 1977.
29. Chloe Hannigan should be prosecuted for unlawfully damaging a carpet belonging to McKittrick Plaza Holdings Limited contrary to Article 3(1) of the Criminal Damage (Northern Ireland) Order 1977.
30. Chloe Hannigan should be prosecuted for unlawfully damaging a carpet belonging to McKittrick & Son Limited contrary to Article 3(1) of the Criminal Damage (Northern Ireland) Order 1977.
31. Claudia Clarke should be prosecuted for unlawfully assaulting Carla Foster contrary to Section 42 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861.
32. Claudia Clarke should be prosecuted for unlawfully assaulting Chloe Hannigan contrary to Section 42 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861.
33. Conal McCartney should be prosecuted for possession of a controlled drug contrary to Section 5(2) of the Misuse of Drugs Act 1971.
34. Conal McCartney should be prosecuted for possession of a controlled drug with intent to supply it to another contrary to Section 5(3) of the Misuse of Drugs Act 1971.
35. Declan Kincaid should be prosecuted for driving a mechanically propelled vehicle on a road without due care and attention contrary to Article 12 of the Road Traffic (Northern Ireland) Order 1995.
36. Declan Kincaid should be prosecuted for driving a mechanically propelled vehicle on a road dangerously contrary to Article 10 of the Road Traffic (Northern Ireland) Order 1995.
37. Declan Kincaid should be prosecuted for being the driver of a mechanically propelled vehicle and following an accident on a road in which damage was caused to property other than his vehicle failing to stop contrary to Article 175(2) of the Road Traffic (Northern Ireland) Order 1981.
38. Declan Kincaid should be prosecuted for being the driver of a mechanically propelled vehicle and following an accident on a road in which damage was caused to property other than his vehicle failing to keep his vehicle standing at or near the scene of the accident contrary to Article 175(2) of the Road Traffic (Northern Ireland) Order 1981.

Candidate Number:

**QUB/Institute of Professional Legal Studies  
and UU/Graduate School of Professional Legal Education**

**Admissions Test December 2013  
Section B**

39. Declan Kincaid should be prosecuted for disorderly behaviour contrary to Article 18(1) of the Public Order (Northern Ireland) Order 1987.
40. Gary Hill should be prosecuted for threatening to kill John Rawlins contrary to Section 16 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861.
41. Gary Hill should be prosecuted for possession of an offensive weapon, namely an iron bar, contrary to Article 22(1) of the Public Order (Northern Ireland) Order 1987.
42. Gary Hill should be prosecuted for robbery of Maria Devine of a quantity of cigarettes contrary to Section 8 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
43. Gary Hill should be prosecuted for theft of cigarettes from KwikShop Limited contrary to Section 1 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
44. Gary Hill should be prosecuted for unlawfully assaulting John Rawlins contrary to Section 42 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861.
45. Gary Hill should be prosecuted for unlawfully assaulting John Rawlins thereby occasioning him actual bodily harm contrary to Section 47 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861.
46. Gary Hill should be prosecuted for unlawfully causing John Rawlins grievous bodily harm with intent to cause grievous bodily harm contrary to Section 18 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861.
47. Gary Hill should be prosecuted for unlawfully damaging a door lock belonging to KwikShop Limited contrary to Article 3(1) of the Criminal Damage (NI) Order 1977.
48. Jim Diamond should be prosecuted for handling stolen goods, namely cigarettes, contrary to Section 21(1) of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
49. Jim Diamond should be prosecuted for robbery of Maria Devine and John Rawlins of a quantity of cigarettes contrary to Section 8 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
50. Jim Diamond should be prosecuted for theft of cigarettes belonging to KwikShop Limited contrary to Section 1 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
51. Margot Foster should be prosecuted for handling stolen goods, namely cigarettes, contrary to Section 21(1) of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.

Candidate Number:

**QUB/Institute of Professional Legal Studies  
and UU/Graduate School of Professional Legal Education**

**Admissions Test December 2013  
Section B**

52. Nathalie Irwin should be prosecuted for threatening to kill Phillip Reid, contrary to Section 16 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861.
53. Nathalie Irwin should be prosecuted for possession of an offensive weapon namely an iron bar contrary to Article 22(1) of the Public Order (Northern Ireland) Order 1987.
54. Nathalie Irwin should be prosecuted for disorderly behaviour contrary to Article 18(1) of the Public Order (Northern Ireland) Order 1987.
55. Nathalie Irwin should be prosecuted for robbery of Maria Devine of a quantity of cigarettes contrary to Section 8 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
56. Nathalie Irwin should be prosecuted for theft of cigarettes from KwikShop Limited contrary to Section 1 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
57. Nathalie Irwin should be prosecuted for unlawfully damaging a door lock belonging to KwikShop Limited contrary to Article 3(1) of the Criminal Damage (Northern Ireland) Order 1977.
58. Peter Bark should be prosecuted for disorderly behaviour contrary to Article 18(1) of the Public Order (Northern Ireland) Order 1987.
59. Peter Bark should be prosecuted for possession of a controlled drug contrary to Section 5(2) of the Misuse of Drugs Act 1971.
60. Peter Bark should be prosecuted for possession of a controlled drug with intent to supply it to another contrary to Section 5(3) of the Misuse of Drugs Act 1971.
61. Peter Bark should be prosecuted for unlawfully assaulting Brendan Lavery contrary to Section 42 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861.
62. Peter Bark should be prosecuted for unlawfully assaulting Brendan Lavery thereby occasioning him actual bodily harm contrary to Section 47 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861.
63. Peter Bark should be prosecuted for unlawfully assaulting Chloe Hannigan contrary to Section 42 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861.

Candidate Number:

**QUB/Institute of Professional Legal Studies  
and UU/Graduate School of Professional Legal Education**

**Admissions Test December 2013**

**Section B**

64. Peter Bark should be prosecuted for unlawfully assaulting Chloe Hannigan thereby occasioning her actual bodily harm contrary to Section 47 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861.
65. Peter Bark should be prosecuted for unlawfully damaging a dress belonging to Chloe Hannigan contrary to Article 3(1) of the Criminal Damage (Northern Ireland) Order 1977.
66. Rajesh Sulman should be prosecuted for possession of a controlled drug contrary to Section 5(2) of the Misuse of Drugs Act 1971.
67. Rajesh Sulman should be prosecuted for possession of a controlled drug with intent to supply to another contrary to Section 5(3) of the Misuse of Drugs Act 1971.
68. Robert McGready should be prosecuted for burglary of 13 College Lane contrary to Section 9(1)(a) of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
69. Robert McGready should be prosecuted for burglary of 13 College Lane contrary to Section 9(1)(b) of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
70. Robert McGready should be prosecuted for robbery of Marian O'Keefe of a bottle of whiskey contrary to Section 8 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
71. Robert McGready should be prosecuted for theft of a bottle of whiskey belonging to Marian O'Keefe contrary to Section 1 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
72. Tony Gibson should be prosecuted for unlawfully assaulting Emer McBrien contrary to Section 42 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861.
73. Tony Gibson should be prosecuted for unlawfully assaulting Emer McBrien thereby occasioning her actual bodily harm contrary to Section 47 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861.
74. Tony Gibson should be prosecuted for unlawfully assaulting Martin McKittrick contrary to Section 42 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861.
75. Tony Gibson should be prosecuted for unlawfully assaulting Martin McKittrick thereby occasioning him actual bodily harm contrary to Section 47 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861.

Candidate Number:

**QUB/Institute of Professional Legal Studies  
and UU/Graduate School of Professional Legal Education**

**Admissions Test December 2013  
Section B**

76. Tony Gibson should be prosecuted for unlawfully damaging a glass belonging to Emer McBrien contrary to Article 3(1) of the Criminal Damage (Northern Ireland) Order 1977.
77. Tony Gibson should be prosecuted for unlawfully damaging a glass belonging to Martin McKittrick contrary to Article 3(1) of the Criminal Damage (Northern Ireland) Order 1977.
78. Tony Gibson should be prosecuted for unlawfully damaging a glass panel door belonging to Emer McBrien contrary to Article 3(1) of the Criminal Damage (Northern Ireland) Order 1977.
79. Tony Gibson should be prosecuted for unlawfully damaging a glass panel door belonging to Nine Greens Golf Club contrary to Article 3(1) of the Criminal Damage (Northern Ireland) Order 1977.
80. Tony Gibson should be prosecuted for unlawfully damaging a glass panel door belonging to SportBiz Limited contrary to Article 3(1) of the Criminal Damage (Northern Ireland) Order 1977.
81. Tony Gibson should be prosecuted for unlawfully damaging a glass panel door belonging to Raymond Brinestone contrary to Article 3(1) of the Criminal Damage (Northern Ireland) Order 1977.