

Candidate Number:

**QUB/Institute of Professional Legal Studies  
and UU/Graduate School of Professional Legal Education**

**Admissions Test December 2015  
Section B**

1. Cameron Fulton should be prosecuted for theft of a purse belonging to Leona Kirkpatrick contrary to Section 1 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
2. Cameron Fulton should be prosecuted for burglary of a purse belonging to Leona Kirkpatrick contrary to Section 9 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
3. Cameron Fulton should be prosecuted for robbery of a purse belonging to Leona Kirkpatrick contrary to Section 8 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
4. Cameron Fulton should be prosecuted for theft of a purse belonging to Julie Kirkpatrick contrary to Section 1 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
5. Cameron Fulton should be prosecuted for burglary of a purse belonging to Julie Kirkpatrick contrary to Section 9 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
6. Cameron Fulton should be prosecuted for robbery of a purse belonging to Julie Kirkpatrick contrary to Section 8 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
7. Cameron Fulton should be prosecuted for unlawfully assaulting Maeve Forbes contrary to Section 42 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861.
8. Cameron Fulton should be prosecuted for unlawfully assaulting Maeve Forbes thereby occasioning actual bodily harm contrary to Section 47 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861.
9. Cameron Fulton should be prosecuted for unlawfully and maliciously causing grievous bodily harm to Maeve Forbes with intent to do her grievous bodily harm contrary to Section 18 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861.
10. Cameron Fulton should be prosecuted for unlawfully assaulting Felice Mangebiene contrary to Section 42 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861.
11. Cameron Fulton should be prosecuted for unlawfully assaulting Felice Mangebiene thereby occasioning him actual bodily harm contrary to Section 47 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861.
12. Cameron Fulton should be prosecuted for unlawfully and maliciously causing grievous bodily harm to Felice Mangebiene with intent to do him grievous bodily harm contrary to Section 18 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861.
13. Cameron Fulton should be prosecuted for theft of a meal belonging to Ciao Pizza contrary to Section 1 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.

Candidate Number:

**Admissions Test December 2015**  
**Section B**

14. Cameron Fulton should be prosecuted for making off without payment contrary to Article 5(1) of the Theft (Northern Ireland) Order 1978.
15. Conrad Peters should be prosecuted for possession of a controlled drug with intent to supply contrary to Section 5(3) of the Misuse of Drugs Act 1971.
16. Conrad Peters should be prosecuted for possession of a controlled drug contrary to Section 5(2) of the Misuse of Drugs Act 1971.
17. Conrad Peters should be prosecuted for disorderly behaviour contrary to Article 18(1)(a) of the Public Order (Northern Ireland) Order 1987.
18. Conrad Peters should be prosecuted for riotous behaviour contrary to Article 18(3) of the Public Order (Northern Ireland) Order 1987.
19. David Leckey should be prosecuted for driving a mechanically propelled vehicle on a road without due care and attention contrary to Article 12 of the Road Traffic (Northern Ireland) Order 1995.
20. David Leckey should be prosecuted for driving a mechanically propelled vehicle on a road dangerously contrary to Article 10 of the Road Traffic (Northern Ireland) Order 1995.
21. David Leckey should be prosecuted for causing the death of a cow by driving a mechanically propelled vehicle on a road dangerously contrary to Article 9 of the Road Traffic (Northern Ireland) Order 1995.
22. David Leckey should be prosecuted for being the driver of a mechanically propelled vehicle and following an accident on a road in which injury was caused to an animal other than an animal in or on that vehicle or owned by him failing to stop contrary to Article 175(2) of the Road Traffic (Northern Ireland) Order 1981.
23. David Leckey should be prosecuted for being the driver of a mechanically propelled vehicle and following an accident on a road in which injury was caused to an animal other than an animal in or on that vehicle or owned by him failing to keep his vehicle standing at or near the scene of the accident contrary to Article 175(2) of the Road Traffic (Northern Ireland) Order 1981.
24. David Leckey should be prosecuted for being the driver of a mechanically propelled vehicle and following an accident on a road in which injury was caused to an animal other than an animal in or on that vehicle or owned by him failing to report the accident contrary to Article 175(2) of the Road Traffic (Northern Ireland) Order 1981.
25. David Leckey should be prosecuted for operating a taxi service without a licence contrary to Section 1 of the Taxis Act (Northern Ireland) 2008.

Candidate Number:

**Admissions Test December 2015**  
**Section B**

26. David Leckey should be prosecuted for permitting his taxi to be driven other than by a holder of a taxi driver's licence contrary to Section 22(3) of the Taxis Act (Northern Ireland) 2008.
27. David Leckey should be prosecuted for driving a class of taxi not permitted by his taxi driver's licence contrary to Section 22(6) of the Taxis Act (Northern Ireland) 2008.
28. George Shaw should be prosecuted for disorderly behaviour contrary to Article 18(1)(a) of the Public Order (Northern Ireland) Order 1987.
29. George Shaw should be prosecuted for riotous behaviour contrary to Article 18(3) of the Public Order (Northern Ireland) Order 1987.
30. Liam McCall should be prosecuted for unlawfully assaulting Paolo Parisse contrary to Section 42 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861.
31. Liam McCall should be prosecuted for unlawfully assaulting Paolo Parisse thereby occasioning actual bodily harm contrary to Section 47 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861.
32. Liam McCall should be prosecuted for unlawfully and maliciously causing grievous bodily harm to Paolo Parisse with intent to do him grievous bodily harm contrary to Section 18 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861.
33. Liam McCall should be prosecuted for unlawfully damaging a bottle of wine contrary to Article 3(1) of the Criminal Damage (Northern Ireland) Order 1977.
34. Liam McCall should be prosecuted for unlawfully damaging a bottle of wine thereby endangering the life of another contrary to Article 3(2) of the Criminal Damage (Northern Ireland) Order 1977.
35. Liam McCall should be prosecuted for disorderly behaviour contrary to Article 18(1)(a) of the Public Order (Northern Ireland) Order 1987.
36. Liam McCall should be prosecuted for riotous behaviour contrary to Article 18(3) of the Public Order (Northern Ireland) Order 1987.
37. Lucinda Neill should be prosecuted for theft of clothing belonging to TrueChamps Limited contrary to Section 1 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
38. Lucinda Neill should be prosecuted for robbery of clothing belonging to TrueChamps Limited contrary to Section 8 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
39. Lucinda Neill should be prosecuted for burglary of clothing belonging to TrueChamps Limited contrary to Section 9 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.

Candidate Number:

**Admissions Test December 2015**  
**Section B**

40. Lucinda Neill should be prosecuted for handling stolen goods namely clothing belonging to TrueChamps Limited contrary to Section 21(1) of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
41. Lucinda Neill should be prosecuted for possession of a controlled drug contrary to Section 5(2) of the Misuse of Drugs Act 1971.
42. Lucinda Neill should be prosecuted for possession of a controlled drug with intent to supply contrary to Section 5(3) of the Misuse of Drugs Act 1971.
43. Maeve Forbes should be prosecuted for driving a mechanically propelled vehicle on a road dangerously contrary to Article 10 of the Road Traffic (Northern Ireland) Order 1995.
44. Maeve Forbes should be prosecuted for causing grievous bodily injury by dangerous driving contrary to Article 9 of the Road Traffic (Northern Ireland) Order 1995.
45. Maeve Forbes should be prosecuted for driving a mechanically propelled vehicle on a road without due care and attention contrary to Article 12 of the Road Traffic (Northern Ireland) Order 1995.
46. Mark Whiley should be prosecuted for theft of a Samsung Galaxy Tab S belonging to Mabel Dennison contrary to Section 1 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
47. Mark Whiley should be prosecuted for burglary in that he entered a caravan belonging to Mabel Dennison as a trespasser and stole therein a Samsung Galaxy Tab S belonging to Mabel Dennison contrary to Section 9(1)(b) of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
48. Mark Whiley should be prosecuted for robbery of a Samsung Galaxy Tab S belonging to Mabel Dennison contrary to Section 8 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
49. Paul Bennett should be prosecuted for unlawfully assaulting Leonard Kirkpatrick contrary to Section 42 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861.
50. Paul Bennett should be prosecuted for unlawfully assaulting Leonard Kirkpatrick thereby occasioning actual bodily harm contrary to Section 47 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861.
51. Paul Bennett should be prosecuted for unlawfully and maliciously causing grievous bodily harm to Leonard Kirkpatrick with intent to do him grievous bodily harm contrary to Section 18 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861.
52. Paul Bennett should be prosecuted for setting a dog on another person contrary to Article 29 of the Dogs (Northern Ireland) Order 1983.
53. Rebecca Mills should be prosecuted for possession of a controlled drug contrary to Section 5(2) of the Misuse of Drugs Act 1971.

Candidate Number:

**Admissions Test December 2015**  
**Section B**

54. Rebecca Mills should be prosecuted for possession of a controlled drug with intent to supply contrary to Section 5(3) of the Misuse of Drugs Act 1971.
55. Rebecca Mills should be prosecuted for theft of clothing belonging to TrueChamps Limited contrary to Section 1 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
56. Rebecca Mills should be prosecuted for burglary of clothing belonging to TrueChamps Limited contrary to Section 9 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
57. Rebecca Mills should be prosecuted for robbery of clothing belonging to TrueChamps Limited contrary to Section 8 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
58. Rebecca Mills should be prosecuted for handling stolen goods namely clothing belonging to TrueChamps Limited contrary to Section 21 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
59. Sandra Boggs should be prosecuted for theft of £10 belonging to Leona Kirkpatrick contrary to Section 1 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
60. Sandra Boggs should be prosecuted for robbery of £10 belonging to Leona Kirkpatrick contrary to Section 8 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
61. Sandra Boggs should be prosecuted for burglary of £10 belonging to Leona Kirkpatrick contrary to Section 9 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
62. Sandra Boggs should be prosecuted for theft of £10 belonging to Julie Kirkpatrick contrary to Section 1 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
63. Sandra Boggs should be prosecuted for robbery of £10 belonging to Julie Kirkpatrick contrary to Section 8 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
64. Sandra Boggs should be prosecuted for burglary of £10 belonging to Julie Kirkpatrick contrary to Section 9 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
65. Scott Armstrong should be prosecuted for unlawfully assaulting Constable Harry Carter contrary to Section 42 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861.
66. Scott Armstrong should be prosecuted for unlawfully assaulting Constable Harry Carter thereby occasioning actual bodily harm contrary to Section 47 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861.
67. Scott Armstrong should be prosecuted for unlawfully and maliciously causing grievous bodily harm to Constable Harry Carter with intent to do him grievous bodily harm contrary to Section 18 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861.

Candidate Number:

**Admissions Test December 2015**  
**Section B**

68. Scott Armstrong should be prosecuted for impeding a constable in the execution of his duty contrary to Section 66 of the Police (Northern Ireland) Act 1988.
69. Scott Armstrong should be prosecuted for assaulting a constable in the execution of his duty contrary to Section 66 of the Police (Northern Ireland) Act 1988.
70. Scott Armstrong should be prosecuted for resisting a constable in the execution of his duty contrary to Section 66 of the Police (Northern Ireland) Act 1988.
71. Scott Armstrong should be prosecuted for obstructing a constable in the execution of his duty contrary to Section 66 of the Police (Northern Ireland) Act 1988.
72. Scott Armstrong should be prosecuted for disorderly behaviour contrary to Article 18(1)(a) of the Public Order (Northern Ireland) Order 1987.
73. Scott Armstrong should be prosecuted for riotous behaviour contrary to Article 18(3) of the Public Order (Northern Ireland) Order 1987.
74. Shane Meharg should be prosecuted for fraud of Dermott Hanna & Sons Limited contrary to Section 1(2)(c) of the Fraud Act 2006.
75. Shane Meharg should be prosecuted for fraud of Matthew McKee & Sons Limited contrary to Section 1(2)(c) of the Fraud Act 2006.
76. Shane Meharg should be prosecuted for theft of money belonging to Dermott Hanna & Sons Limited contrary to Section 1 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
77. Shane Meharg should be prosecuted for handling stolen goods namely a Samsung Galaxy Tab S belonging to Mabel Dennison contrary to Section 21(1) of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
78. Shane Meharg should be prosecuted for fraud of Mabel Dennison contrary to Section 21(1) of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
79. Simon Meharg should be prosecuted for fraud of Matthew McKee & Sons Limited contrary to Section 1(2)(c) of the Fraud Act 2006.
80. Simon Meharg should be prosecuted for theft of money belonging to Dermott Hanna & Sons Limited contrary to Section 1 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
81. Simon Meharg should be prosecuted for fraud of Dermott Hanna & Sons Limited contrary to Section 1(2)(c) of the Fraud Act 2006.