



Standard Operating Procedure Research Governance

Title:	Archiving Research Study Documents			
SOP Reference Number:	QUB-ADRE-27	Date prepared	01 October 2012	
Version Number:	Final v 3.0	Revision Date	23 January 2017	
Effective Date:	25 October 2012	Review Date:	January 2019	

	Name and Position	Signature	Date
Author:	Dr Stephen Liggett Research Governance Manager	Het Sto	24-03-2017
Reviewed by:	Mrs Louise Dunlop, Head of Research Governance	Trause Punky	29-03-2017
Approved by:	Professor James McElnay, Chair Research Governance Steering Group	Janosle Char	55-03-5017

This is a controlled document.

When using this document please ensure that the version is the most up to date by checking the Research Governance Website

Do Not Copy

Revision Log

Previous Version	Date of	Reason for	New Version Number
number	Review/Modification	Review/Modification	
v1.0	06/10/2014	Periodic Review	v2.0
v 2.0	23 January 2017	Periodic Review	v_3.0

1. Purpose

This procedure is to ensure that when the University has acted as Sponsor, study files generated during non-CTIMP research studies are archived in a standardised manner. It is important to recognise that the archival process should comply with relevant legislation and University Policies.

2. Introduction

A structured archival process is necessary for study files generated for a research study. There are also legislative and regulatory responsibilities regarding the archival of study files should the need arise to review a study within a reasonable or contractually defined time limit. Funders often dictate in a research agreement the retention time of study data and materials. The information contained within the files may be of a sensitive nature and it is of reputational importance to the University to ensure that this information is managed in a professional manner.

In the event of a plagiarism allegation, it is essential that study files have been retained in accordance with the principles of this SOP. The ability to produce the 'original' research and related essential documentation is often the best defence against this assertion.

3. Scope

This SOP applies to the study files generated for non-CTIMP research studies were the University has acted as sponsor. These files are sometimes termed the Trial Master File and should be organised in accordance with the relevant University SOP (QUB-ADRE-008). The archival of study files must be in an organised way that will permit the audit of such files.

4. Responsibilities

The Chief Investigator (CI) will be the designated archivist for the study and is responsible for the following activities:

- The archiving of all related paper and electronic documents once the study has ended;
- Control of access to the archived material:
- Ensure that the place of storage is fit for purpose;
- Ensure compliance with legislation and contractual obligations;
- On request, provide the archived study files to a relevant University/external auditor.

Documentation and materials held by a contractor must also be considered when archiving a study. All tasks can be delegated, but the CI retains full accountability for the archival of the study in accordance with the principles of this SOP.

5. Procedure

A study file should be archived when the End of Study Declaration and Study Summary has been submitted to ORECNI. The archival process will consist of the following steps:

- 1. The study shall be physically marked as closed and highlighted as requiring archival;
- 2. The University currently requires data to be held for 5 years, however some of the main funding bodies require a longer period of data retention as detailed in Table1:

Do Not Copy

Funder	Typical research data retention requirements	
QUB	Minimum 5 years	
MRC	Minimum 10 years for basic research	
EPSRC	Minimum 10 years	
CRUK	Minimum 5 years	
NERC	Not stated	

Table 1: Data retention requirements of major funders (Oct 2012)

- 3. It is also likely that the funder will have included contractual obligations regarding the publication and sharing of research data. The archival of the file must permit the CI to comply with any such stipulations;
- 4. It is expected that the TMF should be archived in its entirety, but a checklist (QUB-ADRE-027 Appendix 1) should be used as a guide to the general elements that should be confirmed as present;
- 5. In general, the following aspects should be considered when archiving a study:
 - Funding Award letters/Peer reviews
 - Protocol
 - Amendments
 - Approvals
 - Audit certificates
 - Participant information forms
 - Consent forms
 - IRAS application
 - Advertisements
 - Financial
 - Study agreements
 - Trust approval/correspondence
 - Important correspondence
 - Any adverse events or complaints

- Supporting lab/fieldwork documentation
- Completed consent forms
- CV's of study staff
- Any reports relating to lab testing
- Supporting quality documentation
- Raw data
- Source forms
- Safety information
- Risk assessments
- Annual reports
- End of Study declarations
- Final report/summary reports
- Publications
- 6. All electronic files will also be moved into an electronic archive. The archive folder will be password protected and access should be restricted;
- 7. Once the tasks detailed on the checklist are complete the CI should sign and place at the front of the file;
- 8. A copy of the checklist should be retained by the CI;
- 9. Some funders may have defined standards for this process in excess of is the requirements detailed in this procedure. These must be complied with.

6. References

None

7. Appendices

Appendix 1- Archival Checklist (QUB-ADRE-027-Appendix1)

Appendix 1 QUB-ADRE-027

Archival Checklist

Ethics Approval Reference: Date Study Can be Destroyed: Archival of Study File (Hard Copy) Action The file has been marked as closed An end of study declaration and study summary have been	Completed	Signed
Archival of Study File (Hard Copy) Action The file has been marked as closed		Signed
The file has been marked as closed		Signed
The file has been marked as closed		Signed
An end of study declaration and study summary have been		
submitted to ORECNI		
The following documentation is present within the file:		
Protocol		
Sponsorship letter		
Ethics/regulatory approval		
IRAS form		
Investigator CV's		18
Peer reviews		
Lab/field notebooks collected		
Adverse events/complaint forms		
Amendments		
End of study declaration/study summary		
Funding requirements met		
Trust approval/correspondence documentation		
All electronic files associated with this study have been moved to a restricted access folder		11
Comments:		
	75,000	X
Chief Investigator: Date:		