N. Ireland Cancer Registry Implications of Research for Services

	NICR Lead Author (Office use only)
	Paper ID (Office use only)
	Date Submitted to NICR Steering Group Click here to enter a date. (Office use only)
Paper Title	Colon and rectal cancer survival in seven high-income countries 2010- 2014: variation by age and stage at diagnosis (the ICBP SURVMARK2 project)
Authors	Marzieh Araghi, Melina Arnold, Mark J Rutherford, Marianne Grønlie Guren, Citadel J. Cabasag, Aude Bardot, Jacques Ferlay, Hanna Tervonen, Lorraine Shack, Ryan R Woods, Nathalie SaintJacques, Prithwish De, Carol McClure, Gerda Engholm, Anna Gavin, Eileen Morgan, Paul M Walsh, Christopher Jackson, Geoff Porter, Bjørn Møller, Oliver Bucher, Michael Eden, Dianne L. O'Connell, Freddie Bray, Isabelle Soerjomataram
Journal	BMJ Journals
DOI	10.1136/gutjnl-2020-320625
Full paper available online	Canadian Partnership Against Cancer; Cancer Council Victoria; Cancer Institute NSW; Cancer Research UK; Danish Cancer Society; National Cancer Registry Ireland; The Cancer Society of New Zealand; NHS England; Norwegian Cancer Society; Public Health Agency Northern Ireland, on behalf of the Northern Ireland Cancer Registry; The Scottish Government; Western Australia Department of Health; and Wales Cancer Network. YES NO NOT YET
Conclusion	Survival was consistently higher in Australia, Canada and Norway, with smaller proportions of patients with metastatic disease in Canada and Australia than in the UK which included data from NI. International differences in (one-) and five- year survival were most pronounced for regional and distant colon cancer ranging between (86.0 and 94.1%) 62.5 and 77.5%, and (40.7 and 56.4%) 8.0 and 17.3% respectively. Similar patterns were observed for rectal cancer. Stage distribution of colon and rectal cancers by age varied across countries with marked survival differences for patients with metastatic disease and diagnosed at older ages (irrespective of stage). Survival disparities for colon and rectal cancer across high-income countries are likely explained by earlier diagnosis in some countries and differences in treatment for regional and distant disease, as well as older age at diagnosis. Differences in cancer

	registration practice and different staging systems across countries may have impacted the comparisons
What this means for the service	International comparisons are important in identifying opportunities for improving services locally