

## N. Ireland Cancer Registry Implications of Research for Services

NICR Lead Author <i>(Office use only)</i>
Paper ID <i>(Office use only)</i>
Date Submitted to NICR Steering Group <a href="#">Click here to enter a date.</a> <i>(Office use only)</i>

<b>Paper Title</b>	Can different definitions of date of cancer incidence explain observed international variation in cancer survival? An ICBP SURVMARK-2 study
<b>Authors</b>	Tor Åge Myklebust, Therese Andersson, Aude Bardot, Sally Vernon, Anna Gavin, Deirdre Fitzpatrick, Marianne Brenn Jerm, Mark Rutherford, D. Maxwell Parkin, Peter Sasieni, Melina Arnold, Isabelle Soerjomataram, Freddie Bray, Paul C Lambert, Bjørn Møller
<b>Journal</b>	Cancer Epidemiology
<b>DOI</b>	10.1016/j.canep.2020.101759
<b>Funders</b>	Canadian Partnership Against Cancer; Cancer Council Victoria; Cancer Institute New South Wales; Cancer Research UK; Danish Cancer Society; National Cancer Registry Ireland; The Cancer Society of New Zealand; National Health Service England; Norwegian Cancer Society; Public Health Agency Northern Ireland
<b>Full paper available online</b>	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/> NOT YET <input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Conclusion</b>	The findings from this study indicate that, at least in countries with universal access to healthcare and with high quality cancer registries, different definitions of Date Of Incidence only marginally affect estimates of net survival, and have only a minor bearing on survival comparability in benchmarking exercises.
<b>What this means for the service</b>	The authors recommend that, when feasible, registries routinely record multiple dates from primary sources, not only as means to replicate these findings, but to support a comprehensive approach to data quality evaluation at their institutions.