

Candidate Number:

**Institute of Professional Legal Studies  
Admissions Test December 2016  
Section B**

1. Alison Delargy should be prosecuted for robbery of The Cakery Limited of an almond croissant contrary to Section 8 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
2. Alison Delargy should be prosecuted for theft of an almond croissant belonging to The Cakery Limited contrary to Section 1 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
3. Alison Delargy should be prosecuted for unlawfully assaulting Joanne McWilliams contrary to Section 42 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861.
4. Alison Delargy should be prosecuted for unlawfully assaulting Joanne McWilliams thereby occasioning her actual bodily harm contrary to Section 47 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861.
5. Amber Wright should be prosecuted for allowing herself to be carried in a stolen motor vehicle contrary to Article 172(1) of the Road Traffic (Northern Ireland) Order 1981.
6. Amber Wright should be prosecuted for criminal damage of a Vauxhall Insignia car contrary to Article 3 of the Criminal Damage (Northern Ireland) Order 1977.
7. Amber Wright should be prosecuted for taking a motor vehicle without the owner's consent contrary to Article 172(1) of the Road Traffic (Northern Ireland) Order 1981.
8. Amber Wright should be prosecuted for theft of a quad vehicle belonging to Nicola Hagan contrary to Section 1 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
9. Amber Wright should be prosecuted for theft of a quad vehicle belonging to John Hagan contrary to Section 1 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
10. Amber Wright should be prosecuted for theft of a Vauxhall Insignia contrary to Section 1 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
11. Amelia Szatan should be prosecuted for being the driver of a mechanically propelled vehicle and following an accident on a road or other public place in which damage was caused to property other than her vehicle, failing to stop contrary to Article 175(2) of the Road Traffic (Northern Ireland) Order 1981.
12. Anna Jones should be prosecuted for possession of a controlled drug contrary to Section 5(2) of the Misuse of Drugs Act 1971.
13. Anna Jones should be prosecuted for possession of a controlled drug with intent to supply to another contrary to Section 5(3) the Misuse of Drugs Act 1971.
14. Arthur Andrews should be prosecuted for possession of an offensive weapon contrary to Article 22 of the Public Order (Northern Ireland) Order 1987.
15. Arthur Andrews should be prosecuted for impeding a constable in the execution of her duty contrary to Section 66 of the Police (Northern Ireland) Act 1998.

Candidate Number:

**Institute of Professional Legal Studies  
Admissions Test December 2016  
Section B**

16. Arthur Andrews should be prosecuted for riotous behaviour contrary to Article 18(3) of the Public Order (Northern Ireland) Order 1987.
17. Arthur Andrews should be prosecuted for unlawfully assaulting Constable Ellen Kelly contrary to Section 42 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861.
18. Arthur Andrews should be prosecuted for unlawfully assaulting Constable Ellen Kelly thereby occasioning her actual bodily harm contrary to Section 47 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861.
19. Callum Foster should be prosecuted for driving a mechanically propelled vehicle on a road without due care and attention contrary to Article 12 of the Road Traffic (Northern Ireland) Order 1995.
20. Callum Foster should be prosecuted for driving a mechanically propelled vehicle on a road dangerously contrary to Article 10 of the Road Traffic (Northern Ireland) Order 1995.
21. Callum Foster should be prosecuted for driving a motor vehicle without an appropriate licence contrary to Article 3(1) of the Road Traffic (Northern Ireland) Order 1981.
22. Callum Foster should be prosecuted for the robbery of Fiona Daniels of a tube of Doc Box eye cream contrary to Section 8 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
23. Callum Foster should be prosecuted for theft of a tube of Doc Box eye cream belonging to Fiona Daniels contrary to Section 1 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
24. Cara Anderson should be prosecuted for burglary of a bank at the Escada Hotel contrary to Section 9 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
25. Cara Anderson should be prosecuted for robbery of Irene Dougan of £200 contrary to Section 8 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
26. Cara Anderson should be prosecuted for theft of £200 belonging to Irene Dougan contrary to Section 1 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
27. Cara Anderson should be prosecuted for possession of a controlled drug contrary to Section 5(2) of the Misuse of Drugs Act 1971.
28. Cara Anderson should be prosecuted for possession of a controlled drug with intent to supply to another contrary to Section 5(3) the Misuse of Drugs Act 1971.
29. Cara Anderson should be prosecuted for wasting police time contrary to Section 5(3) of the Criminal Law Act (Northern Ireland) 1967.
30. Ciara Morgan should be prosecuted for robbery of Clare Lyttle of a silver bead bracelet contrary to Section 8 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.

Candidate Number:

**Institute of Professional Legal Studies  
Admissions Test December 2016  
Section B**

31. Ciara Morgan should be prosecuted for theft of a silver bead bracelet belonging to Clare Lyttle contrary to Section 1 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
32. Clare Lyttle should be prosecuted for robbery of Ciara Morgan of a silver bead bracelet contrary to Section 8 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
33. Clare Lyttle should be prosecuted for theft of a silver bead bracelet belonging to Ciara Morgan contrary to Section 1 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
34. ExecDrive Limited should be prosecuted for allowing Callum Foster to drive one of its buses without a passenger-carrying vehicle driver's licence contrary to Article 3(2) of the Road Traffic (Northern Ireland) Order 1981.
35. ExecDrive Limited should be prosecuted for failing to notify its passengers that they had to wear the seatbelts provided contrary to Article 24(A) of the Road Traffic (Northern Ireland) Order 1995.
36. Joseph Szatan should be prosecuted for unlawfully assaulting Pearse Campbell contrary to Section 42 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861.
37. Joseph Szatan should be prosecuted for unlawfully assaulting Pearse Campbell occasioning him actual bodily harm contrary to Section 47 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861.
38. Joseph Szatan should be prosecuted for causing grievous bodily harm with intent to Pearse Campbell contrary to Section 18 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861.
39. Joseph Szatan should be prosecuted for driving a mechanically propelled vehicle on a road without due care and attention contrary to Article 12 of the Road Traffic (Northern Ireland) Order 1995.
40. Joseph Szatan should be prosecuted for driving a mechanically propelled vehicle on a road dangerously contrary to Article 10 of the Road Traffic (Northern Ireland) Order 1995.
41. Leah Dillon should be prosecuted for burglary of a bank at the Escada Hotel contrary to Section 9 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
42. Leah Dillon should be prosecuted for robbery of Irene Dougan of £200 contrary to Section 8 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
43. Leah Dillon should be prosecuted for theft of £200 belonging to Irene Dougan contrary to Section 1 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
44. Mark Houston should be prosecuted for unlawfully assaulting Mairead Smyth contrary to Section 42 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861.
45. Mark Houston should be prosecuted for unlawfully assaulting Mairead Smyth thereby occasioning her actual bodily harm contrary to Section 47 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861.

Candidate Number:

**Institute of Professional Legal Studies**  
**Admissions Test December 2016**  
**Section B**

46. Mark Houston should be prosecuted for causing grievous bodily harm with intent to Sophie McGinley contrary to Section 18 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861.
47. Mark Houston should be prosecuted for causing grievous bodily harm with intent to Mairead Smyth contrary to Section 18 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861.
48. Mark Houston should be prosecuted for unlawfully assaulting Sophie McGinley contrary to Section 42 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861.
49. Mark Houston should be prosecuted for unlawfully assaulting Sophie McGinley thereby occasioning her actual bodily harm contrary to Section 47 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861.
50. Mark Houston should be prosecuted for criminal damage of a lime tree contrary to Article 3 of the Criminal Damage (Northern Ireland) Order 1977.
51. Pearse Campbell should be prosecuted for unlawfully assaulting Amelia Szatan contrary to Section 42 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861.
52. Pearse Campbell should be prosecuted for unlawfully assaulting Amelia Szatan occasioning her actual bodily harm contrary to Section 47 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861.
53. Scott Jones should be prosecuted for driving a mechanically propelled vehicle on a road without due care and attention contrary to Article 12 of the Road Traffic (Northern Ireland) Order 1995.
54. Shane O'Neill should be prosecuted for unlawfully assaulting William Hodgson contrary to Section 42 of the Offences against the Person Act 1861.
55. Shane O'Neill should be prosecuted for unlawfully assaulting William Hodgson thereby occasioning him actual bodily harm contrary to Section 47 of the Offences against the Person Act 1861.
56. Shane O'Neill should be prosecuted for making to Amber Wright a threat to kill William Hodgson contrary to Section 16 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861.
57. Shane O'Neill should be prosecuted for unlawfully and maliciously causing grievous bodily harm with intent to William Hodgson contrary to Section 18 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861.
58. Shane O'Neill should be prosecuted for disorderly behaviour contrary to Article 18(1) of the Public Order (Northern Ireland) Order 1987.
59. Sonia Morgan should be prosecuted for theft of a silver bead bracelet belonging to Clare Lyttle contrary to Section 1 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
60. Sonia Morgan should be prosecuted for handling stolen goods contrary to Section 21 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.

Candidate Number:

**Institute of Professional Legal Studies**  
**Admissions Test December 2016**  
**Section B**

61. William Hodgson should be prosecuted for criminal damage of a Vauxhall Insignia car contrary to Article 3 of the Criminal Damage (Northern Ireland) Order 1977.
62. William Hodgson should be prosecuted for driving a mechanically propelled vehicle on a road dangerously contrary to Article 10 of the Road Traffic (Northern Ireland) Order 1995.
63. William Hodgson should be prosecuted for driving a motor vehicle without insurance contrary to Article 90(1) of the Road Traffic (Northern Ireland) Order 1981.
64. William Hodgson should be prosecuted for taking a motor vehicle without the owner's consent contrary to Article 172(1) of the Road Traffic (Northern Ireland) Order 1981.
65. William Hodgson should be prosecuted for theft of a quad vehicle belonging to Nicola Hagan contrary to Section 1 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
66. William Hodgson should be prosecuted for theft of a quad vehicle belonging to John Hagan contrary to Section 1 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
67. William Hodgson should be prosecuted for theft of a set of car keys belonging to GoGro Limited contrary to Section 1 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.
68. William Hodgson should be prosecuted for driving a mechanically propelled vehicle on a road without due care and attention contrary to Article 12 of the Road Traffic (Northern Ireland) Order 1995.
69. William Hodgson should be prosecuted for robbery of GoGro Limited of a set of car keys contrary to Section 8 of the Theft Act (Northern Ireland) 1969.